This document is an Interagency Science Discussion/Final Agency Review draft. It has not been formally released by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and should not at this stage be construed to represent Agency position on this chemical. It is being circulated for review of its technical accuracy and science policy implications.

Chloroprene (CASRN: 126-99-8)

Note: A TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW is available for this chemical in Adobe PDF Format (xxx pp, xxM). Similar documents can be found in the List of Available IRIS Toxicological Reviews.

Links to specific pages in the toxicological review are available throughout this summary. To utilize this feature, your Web browser and Adobe program must be configured properly so the PDF displays within the browser window. If your browser and Adobe program need configuration, please go to EPA's PDF page for instructions.

0020 Chloroprene (CASRN: 126-99-8); 00/00/0000

Human health assessment information on a chemical substance is included in IRIS only after a comprehensive review of toxicity data by U.S. EPA health scientists from several program offices, regional offices, and the Office of Research and Development. Sections I (Health Hazard Assessments for Noncarcinogenic Effects) and II (Carcinogenicity Assessment for Lifetime Exposure) present the positions that were reached during the review process. Supporting information and explanations of the methods used to derive the values given in IRIS are provided in the guidance documents located on the IRIS website at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgrd.html.

STATUS OF DATA FOR Chloroprene

File First On-Line 00/00/0000

Status	Last Revised
discussion	<mark>00/00/0000</mark>
on-line	<mark>00/00/0000</mark>
on-line	00/00/0000
	iscussion n-line n-line

Note: Hyperlinks to the reference citations throughout this document will take you to the NCEA HERO database (Health and Environmental Research Online) at <u>http://epa.gov/hero</u>. HERO is a database of scientific literature used by U.S. EPA in the process of developing science assessments such as the <u>Integrated Science Assessments (ISA)</u> and the <u>Integrated Risk</u> <u>Information System (IRIS)</u>.

_I. Health Hazard Assessments for Noncarcinogenic Effects

__I.A. Reference Dose (RfD) for Chronic Oral Exposure

Substance Name – Chloroprene CASRN – 126-99-8 Section I.A. Last Revised – 00/00/0000

The RfD is an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. The RfD is intended for use in risk assessments for health effects known or assumed to be produced through a nonlinear (presumed threshold) mode of action. It is expressed in units of mg/kg-day. Please refer to the guidance documents at <u>http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgrd.html</u> for an elaboration of these concepts. Because RfDs can be derived for the noncarcinogenic health effects of substances that are also carcinogens, it is essential to refer to other sources of information concerning the carcinogenicity of this chemical substance. If the U.S. EPA has evaluated this substance for potential human carcinogenicity, a summary of that evaluation will be contained in Section II of this file.

There was no previous oral RfD for chloroprene on IRIS.

____I.A.1. Chronic Oral RfD Summary

There are no human data involving oral exposure to chloroprene. The only lifetime oral study in animals exposed rats to chloroprene at one dose (50 mg/kg/day) and only qualitatively reported non-cancer effects (Ponomarkov and Tomatis, 1980, <u>075453</u>).

____I.A.2. Principal and Supporting Studies (Oral RfD)

Not applicable

____I.A.3. Uncertainty Factors

Not applicable

___I.A.4. Additional Studies/Comments

Not applicable

For more detail on Susceptible Populations, exit to the toxicological review, Section 4.8 (PDF)

__I.A.5. Confidence in the Chronic Oral RfD

Not applicable

For more detail on Characterization of Hazard and Dose Response, exit to the toxicological review, Section 6 (PDF)

__I.A.6. EPA Documentation and Review of the Chronic Oral RfD

Source Document - (U.S. EPA, 2010, 625433)

This document has been provided for review to EPA scientists, interagency reviewers from other federal agencies and White House offices, and the public, and peer reviewed by independent scientists external to EPA. A summary and EPA's disposition of the comments received from the independent external peer reviewers and from the public is included in Appendix A of the *Toxicological Review of Chloroprene* (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>). To review this appendix, exit to the toxicological review, Appendix A, Summary of External Peer Review and Public Comments and Disposition (PDF).

I.A.7. EPA Contacts

Please contact the IRIS Hotline for all questions concerning this assessment or IRIS, in general, at (202) 566-1676 (phone), (202) 566-1749 (fax), or hotline.iris@epa.gov (email address).

__I.B. Reference Concentration (RfC) for Chronic Inhalation Exposure

Substance Name - Chloroprene CASRN – 126-99-8 Section I.B. Last Revised -- 00/00/0000

The RfC is an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. The RfC considers toxic effects for both the respiratory system (portal of entry) and for effects peripheral to the respiratory system (extrarespiratory effects). The inhalation RfC (generally expressed in units of mg/m³) is analogous to the oral RfD and is similarly intended for use in risk assessments for health effects known or assumed to be produced through a nonlinear (presumed threshold) mode of action.

Inhalation RfCs are derived according to *Methods for Derivation of Inhalation Reference Concentrations and Application of Inhalation Dosimetry* (U.S. EPA, 1994, <u>006488</u>). Because RfCs can also be derived for the noncarcinogenic health effects of substances that are carcinogens, it is essential to refer to other sources of information concerning the carcinogenicity of this chemical substance. A summary of the evaluation of potential human carcinogenicity of chloroprene is contained in Section II of this file.

An inhalation assessment for chloroprene was not previously available on IRIS.

I.B.1. Chronic Oral RfC Summary

Critical Effect	Point of Departure	UF	Chronic RfC
Splenic hematopoietic proliferation in female B6C3F1 mice (NTP, 1998, 042076)	BMDL _{10HEC} : 1.1 mg/m ³	100	$1 \times 10^{-2} \text{mg/m}^3$
June 2010 OR QUOTE	3		DRAFT - DO NOT CITE

_I.B.2. Principal and Supporting Studies (Oral RfC)

There is a limited body of information on the non-neoplastic toxicological consequences to human who are exposed to chloroprene. Chloroprene has been reported to cause respiratory, eye, and skin irritation, chest pains, temporary hair loss, dizziness, insominia, headache, and fatigue in occupationally exposed workers (Nystrom, 1948, <u>003695</u>). Other effects reported include changes in the nervous system (lengthening of sensorimotor response to visual cues and increased olfactory thresholds), cardiovascular system (muffled heart sounds, reducted arterial pressure, and tachycardia), and hematological parameters (reduced RBC counts, decreased hemoglobin, erythrocytopenia, leucopenia, and thrombocytopenia) (Sanotskii, 1976, <u>063885</u>).

In animals, toxicity in multiple organ systems, including respiratory tract, kidney, liver, spleen, and forestomach effects, was observed in short-term, subchronic, and chronic inhalation studies (NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>)[also reported by Melnick et al (1999, <u>000297</u>)]; Trochimowicz et al (1998, <u>625008</u>)).

From the available chronic studies, the NTP (1998, 042076) study was chosen as the principal study for the derivation of the RfC. This study utilized 50 animals per sex, per exposure group, a range of exposure concentrations based on the results of preliminary, shorter-duration studies (16 day and 13 weeks), and thoroughly examined chloroprene's observed toxicity in two species (Fisher rats and B6C3F1 mice). Trochimowicz et al. (1998, 625008) was not chosen as the principal study due to concerns regarding high mortality observed in the low dose male and female rats due to the failure in the exposure chamber ventilation system. The high mortality in this dose group prevented histopathological examination of most organ systems (except for liver samples) and precluded any firm conclusions on dose-response characteristics from being drawn. Also, a lack of adverse effects at similar exposure levels as the NTP (1998, 042076) study (Trochimowicz et al. (1998, 625008); see Section 4.7.2.2 for discussion of potential causes of differences in observed toxicity between the NTP and Trochimowicz studies) was observed and influenced the choice to not select the Trochimowicz et al. (1998, 625008) as the principal study.

In the 2-year (NTP, 1998, 042076) inhalation study of chloroprene in male and female rats, groups were exposed to target concentrations of 0, 12.8, 32, and 80 ppm chloroprene. Actual chamber concentrations achieved were 0, 12.8 ± 0.4 , 31.7 ± 1.1 , and 79.6 ± 1.6 and 0, 12.7 ± 0.4 , 31.9 ± 0.9 , and 79.7 ± 1.7 ppm chloroprene for rats and mice, respectively. All animals were observed twice daily, and body weights were recorded initially, weekly through week 12, approximately every 4 weeks from week 15 through week 91, and every 2 weeks until the end of the study. Clinical findings were recorded initially at weeks 4, 8, 12, and 15, every 4 weeks through week 91, and every 2 weeks until the end of the study. Complete necropsy and microscopic examinations were performed on all rats. In addition to gross lesions and tissue masses, the following tissues were examined: adrenal gland, bone and marrow, brain, clitoral gland, esophagus, heart, large intestine (cecum, colon, and rectum), small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum), kidney, liver, lung, lymph nodes (bronchial, mandibular, mediastinal, and mesenteric), mammary gland, nose, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, pituitary gland, preputial gland, prostate gland, salivary gland, spleen, stomach (forestomach and glandular stomach), testis with epididymis and seminal vesicle, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, urinary bladder, and uterus. A LOAEL of 12.8 ppm was identified from this study based on the observation of nonneoplastic lesions in multiple organ systems in animals exposed to the lowest exposure concentration.

From the NTP (1998, 042076) study, all portal-of-entry and systemic nonneoplastic lesions that were statistically increased in mice or rats at the low- or mid-exposure concentration (12.8 or 32 ppm) compared to chamber controls, or demonstrated a suggested dose-response relationship in the low- or mid-exposure range in the absence of statistical significance, were considered candidates for the critical effect. The candidate endpoints included bronchiolar hyperplasia, olfactory suppurative inflammation, kidney (renal tubule) hyperplasia, forestomach epithelial hyperplasia, and splenic hematopoietic cell proliferation in mice, and alveolar epithelial hyperplasia, olfactory chronic inflammation, olfactory necrosis, olfactory epithelium atrophy, olfactory basal cell hyperplasia, olfactory metaplasia, and kidney (renal tubule) hyperplasia in rats.

<u>Methods of Analysis.</u> This assessment uses benchmark dose (BMD) methodology, where possible, to estimate a POD for the derivation of an RfC for chloroprene. Data for some endpoints were not amenable to BMD modeling; therefore the NOAEL/LOAEL approach was used for these data. A BMR of 10% extra risk is typically chosen as a standard response level for dichotomous data and is recommended for the BMR when using dichotomous models to facilitate a consistent basis of comparison across assessments and endpoints (U.S. EPA, 2000, 052150). For the data from the NTP (1998, 042076) study, a BMR of 10% extra risk was used initially under the assumption that it represents a minimal biologically significant change. In addition to the incidence of the endpoints, the NTP (1998, 042076) study also reported the severity scores for individual animals in each dose group, thus making it possible to determine whether the endpoints were increasing in severity as well as incidence with dose. In the case of endpoints that progressed in incidence as well as severity (i.e., progression from mild to moderate lesions) from the control dose to the lowest dose showing response, a BMR of 10% was not considerd to be a biologically minimal effect. Therefore, for these endpoints, a BMR of 5% was used.

Using BMD modeling and dosimetric adjustments, increased incidence of splenic hematopoietic proliferation in female B6C3F1 mice, was identified as the critical effect, and an BMDL_{05HEC} of 1.1 mg/m³ was used as the point of depature for derivation of the RfC.

____I.B.3. Uncertainty Factors

 $UF = 100 = 3 (UF_A) \times 10 (UF_H) \times 1 (UF_S) \times 1 (UF_L) \times 3 (UF_D)$

An UF of 3 was applied for interspecies extrapolation (UF_A) to account for uncertainty in extrapolating from laboratory animals to humans (i.e., interspecies variability). This uncertainty factor is comprised of two separate and equal areas of uncertainty to account for differences in the toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics of animals and humans. In this assessment, toxicokinetic uncertainty was accounted for by the calculation of a human equivalent concentration by the application of a dosimetric adjustment factor as outlined in the RfC methodology (U.S. EPA, 1994, <u>006488</u>). As the toxicokinetic differences are thus accounted for, only the toxicodynamic uncertainties remain, and a UF of 3 is retained to account for this residual uncertainty.

An UF of 10 was applied to account for variation in susceptibility among members of the human population (i.e., interindividual variability; UF_H). Only limited information is available to assess potential variability in human susceptibility, such as data regarding the human variability in expression of enzymes involved in chloroprene metabolism (e.g., metabolic activation via p450 isoform CYP2E1) (Bernauer et al., 2003, <u>625103</u>). No data is currently available on the toxicodynamic variability within the human population. Therefore, the default 10-fold UF_H is applied and presumed to account for

variations in susceptibility within the human population.

An UF_s was not needed to account for subchronic-to-chronic extrapolation because a chronic inhalation study is being used to derive the chronic RfC.

An UF for LOAEL-to-NOAEL extrapolation was not applied because the current approach is to address this factor as one of the considerations in selecting a BMR for benchmark dose modeling. In this case, a BMR of 5% change in splenic hematopoietic cell proliferation was selected under an assumption that is represents a minimal biologically significant change.

An UF of 3 was applied to account for deficiencies in the database. The major strength of the database is the observation of exposure-response effects in multiple organ systems in a well-designed chronic inhalation study that utilized 50 animals per sex per dose group, a range of doses based on the results of preliminary, shorter-duration studies (16 day and 13 weeks), and thoroughly examination of the toxicity of chloroprene in two species (rat and mouse). The database further contains another chronic inhalation bioassay investigating outcomes in another species (hamster), and well-designed embryotoxicity, teratological, and reproductive toxicity studies. The database also contains subchronic studies and chronic studies observing potential neurotoxic and immunotoxic effects. A limitation in the database is the lack of a full two-generation reproductive toxicity study (the Appelman and Dreef van der Meulen (1979, <u>064938</u>) unpublished study exposed F_0 and F_1 rats to chloroprene, but did not allow the F_1 rats to mate).

_I.B.4. Additional Studies/Comments

The results of BMD modeling indicated that splenic hematopoietic cell proliferation in the female mouse was the most sensitive endpoint, with a POD_{ADJ} value of 1.1 mg/m³.

Chloroprene is a relatively water-insoluble, non-reactive gas, with an approximate blood:air partition coefficient of less than 10 (Himmelstein et al., 2004, 625154), that induces a range of nasal, thoracic, and systemic non-cancer effects. Water-insoluble, non-reactive chemicals typically do not partition greatly into the aqueous mucus coating of the upper respiratory system. Rather, they tend to distribute to the lower portions of the respiratory tract where larger surface areas and the thin alveolar-capillary barrier facilitate uptake (Medinsky and Bond, 2001, 016157). The observation of systemic (i.e., nonrespiratory) effects resultant from chloroprene exposure clearly indicates the compound is absorbed into the bloodstream and distributed throughout the body. However, the pattern of respiratory effects seen following chloroprene exposure is consistent with what is known about its metabolism and the expression of cytochrome P450 enzymes in the olfactory mucosa and lower respiratory tract in rats. The proposed mode of action of chloroprene involves the conversion of the parent compound into its reactive epoxide metabolite by P450 isoform CYP2E1. The olfactory mucosa of rats has been shown to specifically express CYP2E1 at levels more similar to hepatic levels than any other non-hepatic tissue examined (Thornton-Manning and Dahl, 1997, 597688). Himmelstein et al. (2004, 625152) observed that the microsomal fraction of rat lung homogenates was active in the metabolic oxidation of chloroprene into (1-chloroethenyl)oxirane at levels between 10-30% that of liver microsomes. In situ conversion of chloroprene into its highly reactive epoxide metabolite in the olfactory epithelia and lower respiratory tract may facilitate its uptake in these tissues and explain a portion of its biological activity in those regions. As it is also observed that chloroprene induces adverse effects in organ systems distal to the portal-of-entry, consistent with the parent compound's water-insoluble and nonreactive chemical properties, it is possible that observed nasal and respiratory effects are due to

systemic redistribution of chloroprene to these tissues. Currently, the contribution of either route of delivery (portal-of-entry vs. systemic distribution) to the induction of nonneoplastic respiratory effects is unknown.

However, the selected critical effect, splenic hematopoietic cell proliferation, is clearly a systemic effect and the human equivalent concentration (HEC) for chloroprene was calculated by the applicaton of the appropriate dosimetric adjustment factor (DAF; in this case 1 for systemic effects) in accordance with the U.S. EPA RfC methodology (U.S. EPA, 1994, <u>006488</u>).

For more detail on Susceptible Populations, exit to the toxicological review, Section 4.8 (PDF)

_I.B.5. Confidence in the Chronic Oral RfC

Study –High Database – Medium to High RfC – Medium to High

Confidence in the principal study (NTP, 1998, <u>042076</u>) is judged to be high as it was a well-designed study using two test species (rats and mice) with 50 animals per dose group. This study appropriately characterizes a range of chloroprene-induced non-neoplastic and neoplastic lesions, as determined by independent, external peer review. In addition, the key histopathological lesions observed are appropriately described, and suitable statistical analysis is applied to all animal data.

Confidence in the overall database specific to chloroprene is medium to high. The major strength of the database is the observation of dose-response effects in multiple organ systems in a well-designed chronic inhalation study that utilized 50 animals per sex per dose group, a range of doses based on the results of preliminary, shorter-duration studies (16 day and 13 weeks), and thoroughly examination of toxicity of chloroprene in two species (rat and mouse). The database further contains another chronic inhalation bioassay investigating outcomes in another species (hamster), and well-designed embryotoxicity, teratological, and reproductive toxicity studies. The database also contains subchronic studies and chronic studies observing potential neurotoxic and immunotoxic effects. A major limitation in the database is the lack of a complete two-generation reproductive toxicity study.

Therefore, confidence in the RfC is judged to be medium to high.

For more detail on Characterization of Hazard and Dose Response, exit to the toxicological review, Section 6 (PDF)

____I.B.6. EPA Documentation and Review of the Chronic Oral RfC

Source Document - (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>)

This document has been provided for review to EPA scientists, interagency reviewers from other federal agencies and White House offices, and the public, and peer reviewed by independent scientists external to EPA. A summary and EPA's disposition of the comments received from the independent external peer reviewers and from the public is included in Appendix A of the *Toxicological Review of Chloroprene* (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>). **To review this appendix, exit to**

the toxicological review, Appendix A, Summary of External Peer Review and Public Comments and Disposition (PDF).

I.B.7. EPA Contacts

Please contact the IRIS Hotline for all questions concerning this assessment or IRIS, in general, at (202) 566-1676 (phone), (202) 566-1749 (fax), or hotline.iris@epa.gov (email address).

_II. Carcinogenicity Assessment for Lifetime Exposure

Substance Name - Chloroprene CASRN – 126-99-8 Section II. Last Revised -- 00/00/0000

This section provides information on the carcinogenic assessment for the substance in question: the weight-of-evidence judgment of the likelihood that the substance is a human carcinogen, and quantitative estimates of risk from oral exposure. Users are referred to Section I of this file for information on long-term toxic effects other than carcinogenicity.

The rationale and methods used to develop the carcinogenicity information in IRIS are described in the *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>086237</u>) and the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>088823</u>). The quantitative risk estimates are derived from the application of a low-dose extrapolation procedure, and are presented in two ways to better facilitate their use. First, route-specific risk values are presented. The "oral slope factor" is a plausible upper bound on the estimate of risk per mg/kg-day of oral exposure. Similarly, a "unit risk" is a plausible upper bound on the estimate of risk per unit of concentration, either per μ g/L drinking water (see Section II.B.1.) or per μ g/m³ air breathed (see Section II.C.1.). Second, the estimated concentration of the chemical substance in drinking water or air when associated with cancer risks of 1 in 10,000, 1 in 100,000, or 1 in 1,000,000 is also provided.

A cancer assessment for chloroprene was not previously available on IRIS.

__II.A. Evidence for Human Carcinogenicity

____II.A.1. Weight-Of-Evidence Characterization

Under the *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>086237</u>), there is evidence that chloroprene is "likely to be carcinogenic to humans" based on (1) statistically significant and dose-related information from an NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>) chronic inhalation bioassay demonstrating the early appearance of tumors, development of malignant tumors, and the occurrence of multiple tumors within and across animal species; (2) evidence of an association between liver cancer risk and occupational exposure to chloroprene; (3) suggestive evidence of an association between lung cancer risk and occupational exposure; (4) the proposed mutagenic mode of action; and (5) structural similarities between chloroprene and known human carcinogens, butadiene and vinyl chloride.

According to NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>), there is clear evidence of carcinogenicity in the F344/N rat and B6C3F1 mouse due to lifetime inhalation exposure to chloroprene. In rats, increased incidences of neoplastic lesions primarily occurred in the oral cavity and lung (males only), kidney, and mammary gland (females). In mice, increased incidences in neoplasms occurred in the lungs, circulatory system (all organs), Harderian gland, forestomach, liver, skin and mesentery (females only), and kidney (males only).

Among epidemiological studies investigating the association between cancer mortality and chloroprene exposure in eight occupational cohorts, four studies observed statistically significantly associations (i.e., two- to five-fold increased risk) between liver/biliary passage cancer cases and chloroprene exposure (Bulbulyan et al., 1998, <u>625105</u>; Bulbulyan et al., 1999, <u>157419</u>; Li et al., 1989, <u>625181</u>; Leet and Selevan, 1982, <u>094970</u>). An increased risk of lung cancer incidence and mortality was observed in a few studies (Colonna and Laydevant, 2001, <u>625112</u>; Bulbulyan et al., 1998, <u>625105</u>; Pell, 1978, <u>064957</u>; Li et al., 1989, <u>625181</u>), although few statistically significant associations were reported.

Compelling evidence for the hypothesized mutagenic mode of action for chloroprene includes: 1) chloroprene, like butadiene and isoprene, is metabolized to epoxide intermediates (Bartsch et al., 1979, <u>010689</u>; Cottrell et al., 2001, <u>157445</u>; Himmelstein et al., 2001, <u>019012</u>; Hurst and Ali, 2007, <u>625159</u>); 2) chloroprene forms DNA adducts via its epoxide metabolite(Munter, et al., 2002, <u>625215</u>), and is a point mutagen *in vitro* (in some but not all bacterial assays) and *in vivo* (Bartsch et al., 1979, <u>010689</u>; Drevon and Kuroki, 1979, <u>010680</u>; Foureman et al., 1994, <u>065173</u>; Himmelstein et al., 2001, <u>019013</u>; NTP, 1998, <u>042076</u>; Shelby and Witt, 1995, <u>624921</u>; Vogel, 1979, <u>000948</u>; Westphal et al., 1994, <u>625047</u>; Willems, 1978, <u>625048</u>; Willems, 1980, <u>625049</u>) ; 3) observation of the genetic alterations (base-pair transversions) in proto-oncogenes in chloroprene-induced lung, Harderian gland, and forestomach neoplasms in mice (NTP, 1998, <u>042076</u>; Sills et al., 1999, <u>624952</u>; Sills et al., 2001, <u>624922</u>; Ton et al., 2007, <u>625004</u>); and 4) similarities in tumor sites and sensitive species between chloroprene and butadiene in chronic rodent bioassays (NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>) and Melnick et al. (1999, <u>000297</u>), respectively).

For more detail on Characterization of Hazard and Dose Response, exit to the toxicological review, Section 6 (PDF).

For more detail on Susceptible Populations, exit to the toxicological review, Section 4.8 (PDF).

____II.A.2. Human Carcinogenicity Data

A number of occupational cohort studies have examined cancer mortality and incidence among workers exposed to chloroprene monomer and/or polychloroprene latex in the United States, Russia (Moscow), Armenia, France, China, and Ireland (Marsh et al., 2007, <u>625187</u>; Marsh et al., 2007, <u>625188</u>; Colonna and Laydevant, 2001, <u>625112</u>; Bulbulyan et al., 1998, <u>625105</u>; Bulbulyan et al., 1999, <u>157419</u>; Romazini et al., 1992, <u>624896</u>; Li et al., 1989, <u>625181</u>; Leet and Selevan, 1982, <u>094970</u>; Pell, 1978, <u>064957</u>).

Despite these differences in occupational exposure to chloroprene and other chemicals, four of the

cohorts with observed liver/biliary passage cancer cases showed statistically significant associations (i.e., two- to five-fold increased risk) with chloroprene exposure. Four mortality studies reported SMRs of 339, 240, 242, 571 when compared to external populations (Bulbulyan et al., 1998, 625105; Bulbulyan et al., 1999, 157419; Li et al., 1989, 625181; Leet and Selevan, 1982, 094970). Although sample size and statistical power were limited (thus limiting the precision of risk estimates), Bulbulyan et al. (1999, 157419; 1998, 625105) observed significantly elevated relative risk estimates for liver cancer incidence and mortality among intermediate and highly exposed workers. The study involving four plants (including the Louisville Works plant included in the Leet and Selevan (1982, 094970) study) by Marsh et al. (2007, 625188), which had the largest sample size and most extensive exposure assessment, also observed increased relative risk estimates for liver cancer in relation to cumulative exposure in the plant with the highest exposure levels (trend p value = 0.09, RRs 1.0, 1.90, 5.10, and 3.33 across quartiles of exposure, based on 17 total cases). Although not statistically significant, these findings are consistent in magnitude with results (RR range: 2.9-7.1) detected in two other studies for high and intermediate cumulative exposures (Bulbulyan et al., 1999, 157419; Bulbulyan et al., 1998, 625105).

The EPA guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>086237</u>) advocate the use of "criteria" proposed by Hill (1965, <u>071664</u>) to assess causality. There exist a number of methodological limitations in the chloroprene epidemiologic studies that may preclude drawing firm conclusions regarding those criteria: lack of control of personal confounders and risk factors associated with the outcomes in question, imprecise exposure ascertainment resulting in crude exposure categories, incorrect enumeration of cases leading to misclassification errors, limited sample sizes, and the healthy worker effect. However, the temporality of exposure prior to occurrence of liver cancer, strength of association, consistency, suggestive biological gradient, and biological plausibility provide some evidence for carcinogenicity of chloroprene in humans.

_II.A.3. Animal Carcinogenicity Data

There is clear evidence of carcinogenicity in the F344/N rat and B6C3F1 mouse due to lifetime inhalation exposure to chloroprene (NTP, 1998, 042076). The mouse is regarded as the most sensitive species because tumor incidence and multisite distribution were greater than with the rat. There was decreased survival in chloroprene-exposed rats and mice, and survival in mice was significantly associated with the burden of neoplastic lesions. Mortality in rats was likely due to overt toxicity across many organ systems. In rats, statistically significantly increased incidences of neoplastic lesions occurred in the oral cavity (papillomas or carcinomas, males and females), kidney (renal tubule adenomas or carcinomas, males), thyroid gland (adenomas or carcinomas, males) and mammary gland (fibroadenomas, females). In mice, increased incidences in neoplasms occurred in the lungs (adenomas or carcinomas, males and females), circulatory system (hemangiomas or hemangiosarcomas, all organs, males and females), Harderian gland (adenomas or carcinomas, males and females), liver (adenomas or carcinomas, females), skin and mesentery (sarcomas, females), mammary gland (carcinomas, females), and kidney (renal tubule adenomas or carcinomas, males). The observation of that chloroprene is more potent in inducing tumors in B6C3F1 mice compared to F344/N rats may be due to species differences in metabolism. The activity of liver or lung microsomal oxidation of chloroprene and the formation of (1chloroethenyl)oxirane was higher in the mouse than the rat (Himmelstein et al. (2004, 625152). Additionally, the activity of epoxide hydrolase in liver microsomes was greater in the rat compared to the mouse (epoxide hydrolase activity was approximately equal in lung microsomes). The observation that formation of the reactive epoxide metabolite of chloroprene is greatest in the

mouse lung may explain the observation that chloroprene exposure induces lung tumors in mice, but not rats.

_II.A.4. Supporting Data for Carcinogenicity

The inhalation study by Dong et al. (1989, <u>007520</u>) found that a 7-month exposure of the Kunming strain of albino mice, a strain reported to have a low spontaneous rate of lung tumor formation, resulted in a chloroprene-associated increase in lung tumors. Although quality assurance procedures regarding histopathology were not reported, these study results are considered to support the findings in the B6C3F1 mice in the NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>) chronic bioassay.

__II.B. Quantitative Estimate of Carcinogenic Risk from Oral Exposure

____II.B.1. Summary of Risk Estimates

____II.B.1.1. Oral Slope Factor

In the only long-term oral cancer study (an F1 generation of inbred BD IV rats given weekly doses of 50 mg/kg chloroprene by gavage), no significant neoplastic effects were reported (Ponomarkov and Tomatis, 1980, 075453). The number of tumor-bearing animals was similar to controls. Therefore, no oral slope factor was derived for chloroprene.

____II.B.1.2. Drinking Water Unit Risk

N/A ____II.B.1.3. Extrapolation Method

N/A

____II.B.2. Dose-Response Data

N/A

____II.B.3. Addittional Comments

N/A

____II.B.4. Discussion of Confidence

N/A

__II.C. Quantitative Estimate of Carcinogenic Risk from Inhalation Exposure

_II.C.1. Summary of Risk Estimates

_II.C.1.1. Inhalation Unit Risk

Given the multiplicity of tumor sites observed in female mice exposed to chloroprene for 2 years (NTP, 1998, 042076), the derivation of the inhalation unit risk of 3.0×10^{-4} per µg/m³ is based on the incidence of tumors in multiple organ systems: alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma; hemangioma/hemangiosarcoma (all organs); mammary gland adenocarcinoma, carcinoma, or adenoacanthoma; forestomach squamous cell papilloma or carcinoma; hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma; Harderian gland adenoma or carcinoma; skin sarcoma; and Zymbal's gland carcinoma. The dose metric used in the current estimate of the human equivalent concentration (HEC) is the applied or external dose because the only PBPK model available (Himmelstein et al., 2004, 625154) was determined to be inadequate for application for calculation of internal dose metrics or interspecies dosimetry extrapolations. For alveolar/bronchiolar tumors, the HEC was calculated treating the neoplasms alternatively as portal-of-entry effects or systemic effects. As there is evidence that chloroprene and/or its metabolite are distributed systemically (i.e., the observation of tumors in multiple organ systems), there is the potential that chloroprene is redistributed to the lungs. In this manner, chloroprene may induce lung tumors as a systemically delivered carcinogen in addition to inducing tumors via inhalation (see Section 5.2.3 of the Toxicological Review of Chloroprene (U.S. EPA, 2010, 625433) for additional discussion). However, the contribution of either route of delivery (i.e., inhalation vs. bloodstream) to the induction of lung tumors is currently unknown, and therefore, the HECs for this tumor were calculated in both manners and the lowest was used to calculate the composite unit risk.

The initial composite unit risk of 2.7×10^{-4} per μ g/m³ is based from individual unit risks derived from the BMDL_{HEC}s from the individual tumor types observed in female mice. The BMDL_{HEC}s are the 95% lower bound on the exposure associated with a 10% extra cancer risk. The individual unit risks were calculated by dividing the risk (as a fraction) by the BMDL_{HEC}, and represents an upper bound, continuous lifetime exposure risk estimate. For example, for hepatocellular adenoma or carcinomas:

 $\begin{array}{l} BMDL_{HEC10}, \ lower \ 95\% \ bound \ on \ exposure \ at \ 10\% \ extra \ risk - 1.58 \times 10^3 \ \mu g/m^3 \\ BMD_{HEC10}, \ central \ estimate \ of \ exposure \ at \ 10\% \ extra \ risk - 2.73 \times 10^3 \ \mu g/m^3 \end{array}$

The individual unit risk for this tumor $-0.1/1.58 \times 10^3 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3 = 6.3 \times 10^{-5} \,\text{per} \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$

The initial composite risk was calculated using the following steps (detailed in Appendix C of the *Toxicological Review of Chloroprene* (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>):

- It was assumed that the tumor types associated with chloroprene exposure were statistically independent that is, that the occurrence of a hemangiosarcoma, say, was not dependent on whether there was a forestomach tumor. This assumption cannot currently be verified and if not correct could lead to an overestimate of risk from summing across tumor sites. However, NRC (1994, 006424) argued that a general assumption of statistical independence of tumor-type occurrences within animals was not likely to introduce substantial error in assessing carcinogenic potency from rodent bioassay data.
- The models previously fitted to estimate the BMDs and BMDLs were used to extrapolate to a lower level of risk (R) where the BMDs and BMDLs were in a linear range. For these

data a 10^{-2} risk was generally the lowest risk necessary. Although this step appears to differ from the explicit recommendation of the cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>086237</u>) to estimate cancer risk from a POD "near the lower end of the observed range, without significant extrapolation to lower doses," this method is recommended in the cancer guidelines as a method for combining multiple extrapolations. A sensitivity analysis considering risks nearer the lower end of the observed ranges for each tumor type was also considered and is described below with the results. The unit risk for each site was then estimated by R/BMDL_R, as for the estimates for each tumor site above.

- The central tendency estimates of unit potency (that is, risk per unit of exposure) at each BMD_R , estimated by R/BMD_R , were summed across the sites listed in Table 5-6 for male mice and similarly across the sites for female mice listed in Table 5-7 (see Section 5.4.4 of the *Toxicological Review of Chloroprene* (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>)).
- An estimate of the 95% upper bound on the composite unit risk was calculated by assuming a normal distribution for the individual risk estimates and deriving the variance of the risk estimate for each tumor site from its 95% upper confidence limit (UCL) according to the following formula:

95% UCL = MLE + $1.645 \times SD$

rearranged to:

SD = (UCL - MLE)/1.645

where 1.645 is the t-statistic corresponding to a one-sided 95% confidence interval and > 120 degrees of freedom, and the standard deviation (SD) is the square root of the variance of the MLE. The variances (variance = SD^2) for each site-specific estimate were summed across tumor sites to obtain the variance of the sum of the MLEs. The 95% UCL on the sum of the individual MLEs was calculated from expression (1) using the variance of the MLE to obtain the relevant SD (SD = variance^{1/2}).

The resulting composite unit risk for all tumor types for female mice was 2.7×10^{-4} per µg/m³ (with lung tumors treated as a systemic effect). The recommended composite upper bound estimate on human extra cancer risk from continuous lifetime exposure to chloroprene is 3×10^{-4} per µg/m³, rounding the composite risk for female mice above to one significant digit. This unit risk should not be used with continuous lifetime exposures greater than 600 µg/m³ (0.6 mg/m³), the human equivalent POD for the female lung tumors, because the observed dose-response relationships do not continue linearly above this level and the fitted dose-response models better characterize what is known about the carcinogenicity of chloroprene.

Because a mutagenic mode of action for chloroprene carcinogenicity is supported by in vivo and in vitro data and relevant to humans (see Section 4.7.3.1 in the *Toxicological Review of Chloroprene* (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>), and in the absence of chemical-specific data to evaluate the differences in susceptibility, increased early-life susceptibility is assumed and the age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) should be applied, as appropriate, along with specific exposure data in accordance with EPA's *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility From Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>088823</u>). The inhalation unit risk of 3×10^{-4} per µg/m³, calculated from data for adult exposures, does not reflect presumed early-life susceptibility for this chemical.

Example evaluations of cancer risks based on age at exposure are given in Section 6 of the *Supplemental Guidance*.

The *Supplemental Guidance* establishes ADAFs for three specific age groups. The current default ADAFs and their age groupings are 10 for < 2 years, 3 for 2 to < 16 years, and 1 for 16 years and above (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>088823</u>). The 10-fold and 3-fold adjustments in slope factor are to be combined with age specific exposure estimates when estimating cancer risks from early life (< 16 years age) exposure to chloroprene.

To illustrate the use of the ADAFs established in the *Supplemental Guidance* (U.S. EPA, 2005, 088823), sample calculations are presented for a lifetime risk estimate for continuous exposure from birth with a life expectancy of 70 years. The ADAFs are first applied to obtain risk estimates for continuous exposure over the three age groups:

Risk for birth through < 2 yr = 3×10^{-4} per $\mu g/m^3 \times 10 \times 2yr/70yr = 8.6 \times 10^{-5}$ per $\mu g/m^3$ Risk for ages 2 through < $16 = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ per $\mu g/m^3 \times 3 \times 14yr/70yr = 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ per $\mu g/m^3$ Risk for ages 16 until 70 = 3×10^{-4} per $\mu g/m^3 \times 1 \times 54yr/70yr = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ per $\mu g/m^3$

To calculate the lifetime risk estimate for continuous exposure from birth for a population with default life expectancy of 70 years, the risk associated with each of the three relevant time periods is summed:

Risk = $8.6 \times 10^{-5} + 1.8 \times 10^{-4} + 2.3 \times 10^{-4} = 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ per µg/m³

_II.C.1.2. Air Concentrations at Specified Risk Levels

Air concentrations at specified risk levels are not provided for chloroprene. Since chloroprene is carcinogenic by a mutagenic mode of action and increased susceptibility is assumed for early-life exposures (<16 years of age), the concentrations at specified risk levels will change based on the age of the individuals in the exposed group. Risk assessors should use the unit risk and current EPA guidance to assess risk based on site-specific populations and exposure conditions. The most current information on the application of ADAFs for cancer risk assessment can be found at www.epa.gov/cancerguidelines/

___II.C.1.3. Extrapolation Method

For individual tumors, multistage Weibull model with linear extrapolation from the $POD(BMDL_{HEC})$ associated with 10% extra cancer risk. The multistage Weibull model incorporates the time at which death-with-tumor occurred. The multistage Weibull model has the following form:

$$P(d) = 1 - exp[-(b_0 + b_1d + b_2d^2 + \dots + b_kd^k) \times (t - t_0)^c]$$

where P(d) represents the lifetime risk (probability) of cancer at dose d (i.e., human equivalent exposure in this case); parameters $b_i \ge 0$, for i = 0, 1, ..., k; t is the time at which the animal's tumor status, either no tumor, tumor, or unknown (e.g., missing or autolyzed) was observed; and c is a parameter estimated in fitting the model, which characterizes the change in response with age. The parameter t_0 represents the time between when a potentially fatal tumor becomes observable and when it causes death and is generally set to 0 because of a lack of data to estimate the time reliably, such as interim sacrifice data. Parameters were estimated using the method of maximum likelihood

estimation (MLE).

For calculation of composite unit risk and final unit risk (adjusting for early life susceptibility), see above.

_II.C.2. Dose-Response Data

Tumor type – multiple (see above) Test species – female B6C3F1 mice Route – Inhalation References – NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>)

Tumor incidence in female B6C3F1 mice exposed to chloroprene via inhalation

Tiggue		Chloroprene concentration (ppm)				
115500		Control	12.8	32	80	
All organs: hemangioma or	Unadjusted rate	4/50	6/49	18/50	8/50	
hemangiosarcoma	First incidence (days)	541	482	216	523	
Lung: alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or	Unadjusted rate	4/50	28/49	34/50	42/50	
carcinoma	First incidence (days)	706	447	346	324	
Liver: hepatocellular adenoma or	Unadjusted rate	20/50	26/49	20/50	30/50	
carcinoma	First incidence (days)	493	440	503	384	
	Unadjusted rate	0/50	11/49	11/50	18/50	
Skin or mesentery: sarcoma	First incidence (days)	-	285	524	462	
Mammary gland: adenocarcinoma,	Unadjusted rate	3/50	6/49	11/50	14/50	
carcinoma or adenoacanthoma	First incidence (days)	527	440	394	336	
Forestomach: squamous cell papilloma or	Unadjusted rate	1/50	0/49	0/50	4/50	
carcinoma	First incidence (days)	734	-	-	576	
	Unadjusted rate	2/50	5/50	3/50	9/50	
Harderian giand : adenoma or carcinoma	First incidence (days)	527	621	524	467	
Zumballa aland ^a u aanainama	Unadjusted rate	0/50	0/50	0/50	3/50	
Zymbai's giand : carcinoma	First incidence (days)	-	-	-	565	

^aTissues were examined histopathologically only if a lesion was observed grossly at necropy

Source: NTP (1998, <u>042076</u>).

Dose-response modeling summary for female mouse tumors associated with inhalation exposure to chloroprene

			Point of departure ^b		
Tumor type*	Power Parameter c ^a	BMR	·	Unit risk ^d /(µg/m ³)	Composite unit risk ^e /(µg/m ³)

			Modeled from bioassay (ppm)		Continuous, Human equivalent ^c (µg/m ³)			
			BMDL	BMD	BMDL	BMD		
Lung: alveolar/ bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma ^f	3.8	0.1	0.88	1.20	5.69×10^{2} 2.33×10^{3}	7.71×10^2 3.16×10^3	1.8×10^{-4} 4.3×10^{-5}	
All organs: hemangio- sarcomas, hemangiomas ^{g, h}	5.9	0.1	5.75	10.1	3.71×10^3	$6.52 imes 10^3$	2.7×10^{-5}	
All organs: hemangio- sarcomas, hemangiomas ^{g, i}	1.0	0.1	11.1	14.9	7.13×10^3	$9.62 imes 10^3$	$1.4 imes 10^{-5}$	
Mammary gland: adenocarcinoma, carcinoma or adenoacanthoma	1.0	0.1	14.1	20.4	9.06×10^{3}	1.32×10^4	1.1×10^{-5}	$2.7 imes 10^{-4}$ $1.5 imes 10^{-4}$
Forestomach: squamous cell papilloma or carcinoma	4.1	0.1	46.3	67.8	$2.98 imes 10^4$	4.37×10^4	3.4×10^{-6}	1.5 × 10
Liver: hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma	4.2	0.1	2.45	4.24	1.58×10^3	2.73×10^{3}	6.3×10^{-5}	
Harderian gland: adenoma or carcinoma	2.9	0.1	12.6	27.1	8.13×10^3	$1.75 imes 10^4$	$1.2 imes 10^{-5}$	
Skin: sarcoma	1.6	0.1	7.18	9.49	4.63×10^3	6.11×10^3	$2.2 imes 10^{-5}$	
Zymbal's gland: carcinoma	1.1	0.05	22.5	80.5	$1.45 imes 10^4$	$5.19 imes 10^4$	3.5×10^{-6}	

^a Multistage-Weibull model: $P(d) = 1 - exp[-(b_0 + b_1d + b_2d^2 + ... + b_kd^k) \times (t-t_0)^c]$, coefficients estimated in terms of ppm as administered in bioassay; lower stage b_i not listed were estimated to be zero. See

Appendix C for modeling details.

^b BMD = Concentration at specified extra risk;

BMDL = 95% lower bound on concentration at specified extra risk.

^c Continuous equivalent estimated by multiplying exposures by $(6 \text{ hours})/(24 \text{ hours}) \times (5 \text{ days})/(7 \text{ days})$.

^d Unit risk estimated by dividing the BMR by the BMDL.

^e Overall unit risk estimate, across all sites listed; see text for method.

^f Values in italics indicate BMD/BMDL when lung tumors are treated as systemic lesions.

^g Highest exposure group dropped in order to better characterize low-dose responses.

^h Malignancies at early deaths considered fatal

ⁱAll tumors considered incidental

* Tumor incidence data from NTP (1998, 042076)

_II.C.3. Additional Comments

Supplementary information not required.

_II.C.4. Discussion of Confidence

Human population variability. The extent of inter-individual variability in chloroprene metabolism has not been characterized. A separate issue is that the human variability in response to chloroprene is also poorly understood. The effect of metabolic variation, including potential implications for differential toxicity, has not been well studied. Although a mutagenic MOA indicates increased early-life susceptibility, there are no data exploring whether there is differential sensitivity to chloroprene carcinogenicity across human life stages. This lack of understanding about potential differences in metabolism and susceptibility across exposed human populations thus represents a source of uncertainty.

Choice of low-dose extrapolation approach. The MOA is a key consideration in clarifying how risks should be estimated for low-dose exposure. A multistage Weibull time-to-tumor model was the preferred model because it can account for differences in mortality and other competing risks between the exposure groups in the mouse bioassay; however, it is unknown how well this model predicts low-dose extrapolated risks for chloroprene. Cause of death information was not available for this model; if available, risk estimates would tend to be slightly higher. For example, treatment of early deaths (prior to final sacrifice) with hemangiosarcomas as fatal, with all other hemangiomas and hemangiosarcomas as incidental to death, led to unit risks up to twofold higher than unit risks treating all hemangiosarcomas (and hemangiomas) as incidental.

Dose metric. Chloroprene is metabolized to intermediates with carcinogenic potential, most likely an epoxide. However, data sufficient to estimate quantities were not available. Under the assumption that the carcinogenic form(s) of chloroprene are produced in proportion to low-exposures of chloroprene, the derived unit risk is an unbiased estimate.

Choice of bioassay/species/gender. The NTP inhalation bioassay followed an accepted protocol, was well conducted, and extensively peer reviewed. The carcinogenic response occurs in both species and sexes of rodents as well as in humans. The calculated combined unit risk is based on the most sensitive endpoint (risk of any tumor type) in the most sensitive species and gender (female mouse). There is no information on chloroprene to indicate that the observed rodent tumors are not relevant to humans. Further, no data exist to guide quantitative adjustment for differences in sensitivity among rodents and humans. While site concordance generally is not assumed across species, e.g., due to potential differences in pharmacokinetics, DNA repair, other protective systems across species and tissues (U.S. EPA, 2005, <u>086237</u>), it is notable that human-mouse site concordance was observed for liver tumors. In addition, rat and mouse tumor types overlapped but included different tumor types observed for each species/sex combination. Human data were insufficient to rule out the occurrence of these additional tumor types in humans.

Cross-species scaling. Another source of uncertainty comes from the interspecies extrapolation of risk from mouse to human. The two rodent species for which bioassay data were available— mouse and rat—vary in their carcinogenic responses to chloroprene, in terms of both site specificity and magnitude of response (see Chapter 4). Ideally, a PBPK model for the internal dose(s) of the reactive metabolite(s) would decrease some of the quantitative uncertainty in interspecies extrapolation; however, current PBPK models are inadequate for this purpose (Chapter 3). Existing pharmacokinetic models cannot yet adequately explain the species differences in carcinogenic response, and it is possible that there are pharmacodynamic as well as pharmacokinetic differences between the mouse and rat with respect to their sensitivities to chloroprene.

While concordance of specific sites between rodents and humans (e.g., liver tumors) tends to support the relevance of rodent species to humans, lack of specific site concordance (other tumors) does not diminish concern for human carcinogenic potential. The mouse was the more sensitive species to the carcinogenic effects of chloroprene exposure. Although the derivation took into account some known differences between mice and humans in tissue dosimetry (U.S. EPA, 1994, 006488) differences in anatomy of the upper respiratory tract and resulting differences in absorption or in local respiratory system effects are sources of uncertainty.

Statistical uncertainty at the Point of Departure (POD). Parameter uncertainty within the chosen model reflects the limited sample size of the cancer bioassay. For the multistage-Weibull model applied to this data set, there is a reasonably small degree of uncertainty at the 10% extra risk level (the POD for linear low-dose extrapolation). Central estimates of risk differed from their upper bounds by about 1.2-fold for lung tumors and for the composite risk estimates.

HEC derivation. A source of uncertainty in the derivation of the HEC comes from whether or not chloroprene induces lung tumors due to portal-of-entry or systemic effects. Systemic distribution of chloroprene is evidenced by the induction of tumors in multiple organs and suggests that chloroprene may be redistributed back to the lungs and may potentially act as a systemically delivered carcinogen rather than, or in addition to, a portal-of-entry toxicant. However, the contribution of either route of delivery (i.e., inhalation vs. bloodstream) to the induction of lung tumors is currently unknown. Treating lung tumors as systemic effects returns the highest combined unit risk (approximately 60% greater than if lung tumors are treated as portal-of-entry effects).

__II.D. EPA Documentation, Review, And Contacts (Carcinogenicity Assessment)

___II.D.1. EPA Documentation

Source Document - (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>)

This document has been provided for review to EPA scientists, interagency reviewers from other federal agencies and White House offices, and the public, and peer reviewed by independent scientists external to EPA. A summary and EPA's disposition of the comments received from the independent external peer reviewers and from the public is included in Appendix A of the *Toxicological Review of Chloroprene* (U.S. EPA, 2010, <u>625433</u>).

_II.D.2. EPA Review

Agency Completion Date -- __/__/__

_II.D.3. EPA Contacts

Please contact the IRIS Hotline for all questions concerning this assessment or IRIS, in general, at (202) 566-1676 (phone), (202) 566-1749 (fax), or <u>hotline.iris@epa.gov</u> (email address).

_III. [reserved]

_**IV.** [reserved] _**V.** [reserved]

_VI. Bibliography

Substance Name – Chloroprene CASRN – 126-99-8 Section VI. Last Revised – 00/00/0000

_VI.A. Oral RfD References

NTP (1998). Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of chloroprene (CAS No 126-99-8) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies). National Toxicology Program. Research Triangle Park, NC. NTP TR 467; NIH PUB 98-3957. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/search/TRLProductDetail.aspx?ABBR=PB99123671. 042076

Ponomarkov V; Tomatis L (1980). Long-term testing of vinylidene chloride and chloroprene for carcinogenicity in rats. Oncology, 37: 136-141. <u>075453</u>

U.S. EPA (2010). Toxicological review of Chloroprene (CASRN 126-99-8) in support of summary information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/635/R-09/010F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=56468. <u>625433</u>

___VI.B. Inhalation RfC References

Appelman LM; Dreef-van der Meulen HC (1979). Reproduction study with beta-chloroprene vapour in rats. Central Institute for Nutrition and Food Research (CIVO). Zeist, The Netherlands. 6225. <u>064938</u>

Bernauer U; Garritsen H; Heinrich-Hirsch B; Gundert-Remy U (2003). Immunochemical analysis of cytochrome P450 variability in human leukapheresed samples and its consequences for the risk assessment process. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol, 37: 318-327. <u>625103</u>

Himmelstein MW; Carpenter SC; Hinderliter PM (2004). Kinetic modeling of beta-chloroprene metabolism: I. In vitro rates in liver and lung tissue fractions from mice, rats, hamsters, and humans. Toxicol Sci, 79: 18-27. <u>625152</u>

Medinsky MA; Bond JA (2001). Sites and mechanisms for uptake of gases and vapors in the respiratory tract. Toxicology, 160: 165-172. <u>016157</u>

NTP (1998). Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of chloroprene (CAS No 126-99-8) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies). National Toxicology Program. Research Triangle Park, NC. NTP TR 467; NIH PUB 98-3957.

https://ntrl.ntis.gov/search/TRLProductDetail.aspx?ABBR=PB99123671.042076

Nystrom AE (1948). Health hazards in the chloroprene rubber industry and their prevention: A clinical and experimental study with special reference to chloroprene as well as oxidation and polymerization products thereof. J Intern Med, 219: 1-125. <u>003695</u>

Sanotskii IV (1976). Aspects of the toxicology of chloroprene: immediate and long-term effects. Environ Health Perspect, 17: 85-93. <u>063885</u>

Thornton-Manning JR; Dahl AR (1997). Metabolic capacity of nasal tissue: Interspecies comparisons of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes. Mutat Res, 380: 43-59. <u>597688</u>

Trochimowicz HJ; Loser E; Feron VJ; Clary JJ; Valentine R (1998). Chronic Inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity studies on β-chloroprene in rats and hamsters . Inhal Toxicol, 10: 443-472. 625008

U.S. EPA (1994). Methods for derivation of inhalation reference concentrations and application of inhalation dosimetry. Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency . Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA/600/8-90/066F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=71993. <u>006488</u>

U.S. EPA (2000). Benchmark dose technical guidance document [external review draft]. Risk Assessment Forum, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/630/R-00/001. http://www.epa.gov/raf/publications/benchmark-dose-doc-draft.htm . <u>052150</u>

U.S. EPA (2002). A review of the reference dose and reference concentration processes. Risk Assessment Forum, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/630/P-02/0002F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=55365. <u>088824</u>

U.S. EPA (2010). Toxicological review of Chloroprene (CASRN 126-99-8) in support of summary information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/635/R-09/010F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=56468. <u>625433</u>

__VI.C. Carcinogenicity Assessment References

Bartsch H; Malaveille C; Barbin A; Planche G (1979). Mutagenic and alkylating metabolites of halo-ethylenes, chlorobutadienes and dichlorobutenes produced by rodent or human liver tissues: Evidence for oxirane formation by P450-linked microsomal mono-oxygenases. Arch Toxicol, 41: 249-277. <u>010689</u>

Bulbulyan MA; Changuina OV; Zaridze DG; Astashevsky SV; Colin D; Boffetta P (1998). Cancer mortality among Moscow shoe workers exposed to chloroprene (Russia). Cancer Causes Control, 9: 381-387. <u>625105</u>

Bulbulyan MA; Margaryan AG; Ilychova SA; Astashevsky SV; Uloyan SM; Cogan VY; Colin D; Boffetta P; Zaridze DG (1999). Cancer Incidence and Mortality in a Cohort of Chloroprene Workers from Armenia. Int J Cancer, 81: 31-33. <u>157419</u>

Colonna M; Laydevant G (2001). A cohort study of workers exposed to chloroprene in the department of Isère, France. Chem Biol Interact, 135-136: 505-514. <u>625112</u>

Cottrell L; Golding BT; Munter T; Watson WP (2001). In Vitro Metabolism of Chloroprene: Species Differences, Epoxide Stereochemistry and a De-chlorination Pathway. Chem Res Toxicol, 14: 1552-1562. <u>157445</u>

Dong QA; Xiao BL; Hu YH; Li SQ (1989). Short-term test for the induction of lung tumor in mouse by chloroprene. Biomed Environ Sci, 2: 150-153. <u>007520</u>

Drevon C; Kuroki T (1979). Mutagenicity of vinyl chloride, vinylidene chloride and chloroprene in V79 Chinese hamster cells. DNA Repair (Amst), 67: 173-182. <u>010680</u>

Foureman P; Mason JM; Valencia R; Zimmering S (1994). Chemical mutagenesis testing in Drosophila X Results of 70 coded chemicals tested for the National Toxicology Program. Environ Mol Mutagen, 23: 208-227. <u>065173</u>

Hill AB (1965). The environment and disease: Association or causation. J R Soc Med, 58: 295-300. 071664

Himmelstein MW; Carpenter SC; Evans MV; Hinderliter PM; Kenyon EM (2004). Kinetic modeling of beta-chloroprene metabolism: II. The application of physiologically based modeling for cancer dose response analysis. Toxicol Sci, 79: 28-37. <u>625154</u>

Himmelstein MW; Carpenter SC; Hinderliter PM (2004). Kinetic modeling of beta-chloroprene metabolism: I. In vitro rates in liver and lung tissue fractions from mice, rats, hamsters, and humans. Toxicol Sci, 79: 18-27. <u>625152</u>

Himmelstein MW; Carpenter SC; Hinderliter PM; Snow TA; Valentine R (2001). The metabolism of "beta"-chloroprene: preliminary in-vitro studies using liver microsomes. Chem Biol Interact, 135: 267-284. <u>019012</u>

Himmelstein MW; Gladnick NL; Donner EM; Snyder RD; Valentine R (2001). In vitro genotoxicity testing of (1-chloroethenyl)oxirane, a metabolite of "beta"-chloroprene. Chem Biol Interact, 135: 703-713. <u>019013</u>

Hurst HE; Ali MY (2007). Analyses of (1-chloroethenyl)oxirane headspace and hemoglobin N-valine adducts in erythrocytes indicate selective detoxification of (1-chloroethenyl)oxirane enantiomers. Chem Biol Interact, 166: 332-340. <u>625159</u>

Leet TL; Selevan SG (1982). Mortality analysis of workers exposed to chloroprene. 094970

Leonard RC; Kreckmann KH; Lineker GA; Marsh G; Buchanich J; Youk A (2007). Comparison of standardized mortality ratios (SMRs) obtained from use of reference populations based on

company-wide registry cohort to SMRs calculated against local and national rates. Chem Biol Interact, 166: 317-322. <u>625179</u>

Li SQ; Dong QN; Liu YQ; Liu YG (1989). Epidemiologic study of cancer mortality among chloroprene workers. Biomed Environ Sci, 2: 141-149. <u>625181</u>

Marsh GM; Youk AO; Buchanich JM; Cunningham M; Esmen NA; Hall TA; Phillips ML (2007). Mortality patterns among industrial workers exposed to chloroprene and other substances: I. General mortality patterns. Chem Biol Interact, 166: 285-300. <u>625187</u>

Marsh GM; Youk AO; Buchanich JM; Cunningham M; Esmen NA; Hall TA; Phillips ML (2007). Mortality patterns among industrial workers exposed to chloroprene and other substances: II. Mortality in relation to exposure. Chem Biol Interact, 166: 301-316. <u>625188</u>

Melnick RL; Sills RC; Portier CJ; Roycroft JH; Chou BJ; Grumbein SL; Miller RA (1999). Multiple organ carcinogenicity of inhaled chloroprene (2-chloro-1,3-butadiene) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice and comparison of dose-response with 1,3-butadiene in mice. Carcinogenesis, 20: 867-878. 000297

Munter, T; Cottrell, L; Hill, S; Kronberg L; Watson WP; Golding BT (2002). Identification of adducts derived from reactions of (1-chloroethenyl)oxirane with nucleosides and calf thymus DNA. Chem Res Toxicol, 15: 1549-1560. <u>625215</u>

NRC (1994). Science and judgment in risk assessment. National Research Council. Washington, DC. <u>006424</u>

NTP (1998). Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of chloroprene (CAS No 126-99-8) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies). National Toxicology Program. Research Triangle Park, NC. NTP TR 467; NIH PUB 98-3957.

https://ntrl.ntis.gov/search/TRLProductDetail.aspx?ABBR=PB99123671. 042076

Pell S (1978). Mortality of workers exposed to chloroprene. J Occup Environ Med, 20: 21-29. 064957

Ponomarkov V; Tomatis L (1980). Long-term testing of vinylidene chloride and chloroprene for carcinogenicity in rats. Oncology, 37: 136-141. <u>075453</u>

Romazini S; Laydevant G; Lutz J; Colonna M; Menegoz F (1992). Mortality study in occupational exposure to chloroprene. , 54: 721-725. <u>624896</u>

Shelby MD; Witt KL (1995). Comparison of results from mouse bone marrow chromosome aberration and micronucleus tests. Environ Mol Mutagen, 25: 302-313. <u>624921</u>

Sills RC; Hong HL; Boorman GA, Devereux TR; Melnick RL (2001). Point mutations of K-ras and H-ras genes in forestomach neoplasms from control B6C3F1 mice and following exposure to 1,3-butadiene, isoprene or chloroprene for up to 2-years. Chem Biol Interact, 135-136: 376-386. 624922

Sills RC; Hong HL; Melnick RL; Boorman GA; Devereux TR (1999). High frequency of codon 61 K-rasras A-->T transversions in lung and Harderian gland neoplasms of B6C3F1 mice exposed to chloroprene (2-chloro-1,3-butadiene) for 2 years, and comparisons with the structurally related chemicals isoprene and 1,3-butadiene. Carcinogenesis, 20: 657-662. <u>624952</u>

Ton TV; Hong HH; Devereux TR; Melnick RL; Sills RC; Kim Y (2007). Evaluation of genetic alterations in cancer-related genes inlung and brain tumors from B6C3F1 mice exposed to1,3-butadiene or chloroprene. Chem Biol Interact, 106: 112-120. <u>625004</u>

Trochimowicz HJ; Loser E; Feron VJ; Clary JJ; Valentine R (1998). Chronic Inhalation toxicity and carcinogenicity studies on β-chloroprene in rats and hamsters . Inhal Toxicol, 10: 443-472. 625008

U.S. EPA (2000). Benchmark dose technical guidance document [external review draft]. Risk Assessment Forum, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/630/R-00/001. http://www.epa.gov/raf/publications/benchmark-dose-doc-draft.htm . <u>052150</u>

U.S. EPA (2005). Guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment, Final Report. Risk Assessment Forum, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/630/P-03/001F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=116283. <u>086237</u>

U.S. EPA (2005). Supplemental guidance for assessing susceptibility from early-life exposure to carcinogens. Risk Assessment Forum, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/630/R-03/003F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=116283. <u>088823</u>

U.S. EPA (2010). Toxicological review of Chloroprene (CASRN 126-99-8) in support of summary information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/635/R-09/010F. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=56468. <u>625433</u>

Vogel E (1979). Mutagenicity of chloroprene, 1-chloro-1,3-trans-butadiene, 1,4-dichlorobutene-2 and 1,4-dichloro-2,3-epoxybutane in drosophila melanogaster. DNA Repair (Amst), 67: 377-381. 000948

Westphal GA; Blaszkewicz M; Leutbecher M; Müller A; Hallier E; Bolt HM (1994). Bacterial mutagenicity of 2-chloro-1,3-butadiene (chloroprene) caused by decomposition products. Arch Toxicol, 68: 79-84. <u>625047</u>

Willems MI (1978). Evaluation of beta-chloroprene and five dimmers in the Salmonella/microsome mutagenicity test. Central Institute for Nutrition and Food Research (CIVO). Zeist, The Netherlands. R 5712. <u>625048</u>

Willems MI (1980). Evaluation of beta-chloroprene and four chloroprene dimers in the Ames test by atmospheric exposure of the tester strains. Central Institute for Nutrition and Food Research (CIVO) . Zeist, The Netherlands. R 6392; OTS0570703; 88-920008421. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/search/TRLProductDetail.aspx?ABBR=OTS0570703. <u>625049</u> VII. Revision History Chloroprene CASRN – 162-99-8 File First On-Line 00/00/0000

Date	Section
00/00/0000	II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII

Description RfC, and cancer assessment added

_VIII. Synonyms

Substance Name – Chloroprene CASRN – 126-99-8 Section VIII. Last Revised – 00/00/0000

- 2-chloro-1,3-butadiene
- chlorobutadiene
- 2-chlorobutadiene
- 2-chlorobutadiene-1,3
- beta-chloroprene