EPA IRIS ASSESSMENT PLAN FOR VANADIUM COMPOUNDS

Comments by Dr. Judith A. MacGregor, Ph.D., D.A.B.T. Toxicology Consulting Services August 19th, 2020

JUDITH A. MACGREGOR, Ph.D., D.A.B.T.

Graduate Training in Toxicology at the U. of Rochester and UC San Francisco Medical Schools, 40+ years of experience

Directed a large industrial in-house toxicology laboratory, a contract toxicology testing program, conducted and evaluated chemical risk assessments

Familiar with the study designs to assess product safety of chemicals in the US, OECD, and internationally

Currently a consulting toxicologist including I5 years studying vanadium compounds, serve as consultant for the domestic(VPRA) and international (Vanitec) trade organizations

My comments today are my own and do not represent those of any other organization or company

OVERVIEW OF COMMENTS

- I) Challenges For The Derivation of an RfD for Vanadium Compounds
- 2) Study Quality and the Ramifications for the Data Extraction Process
- 3) Comments on Scoping Issues
- 4) Comments on Key Science Issues

MAJOR CHALLENGE: VANADIUM RFD STUDY LIMITATIONS

Available studies have significant study limitations compared to the designs for studies typically done for product safety evaluation purposes Test compound often not sourced or analyzed V in water and food not measured, dose uncertain Design and/or methods not standard Very limited endpoints evaluated Poorly reported Records not available Confounders present Inconsistencies across studies Has resulted in divergent approaches to the derivation of an oral reference dose for

vanadium compounds and referent values that span 2 orders of magnitude

EPA will need a fresh approach, consider the entire database, rely on multiple studies, and the IAP is a good start

Ex. Boscolo, 1994 (EPA IAP p5)

"EPA also developed provisional peer-reviewed toxicity values (PPRTVs) for vanadium and its soluble inorganic compounds other than vanadium pentoxide in 2009, including a chronic provisional RfD (p-RfD) and subchronic p-RfD for vanadium. These values were based on <u>kidney histopathology</u> in a 6-month study by Boscolo et al. (1994), in which rats were given sodium metavanadate in drinking water at <u>levels of I, 10, or 40 μ g/mL vanadium; EPA estimated that this corresponded to doses of 0.12, 1.2, or 4.7 mg/kg-day based on default drinking water and body weight estimates."</u>

however.....

Not a 3 dose study as described but two separate studies 0,10,40 ug/ml 0, 1 ug/ml Kidney histopathology described in a few sentences in the paper, data lacking Concordance of findings with other V studies is poor Ramifications for the Data Extraction Process a Concern

IRIS ASSESSMENT PLAN for VANADIUM COMPOUNDS SCOPING ISSUES: PECO CRITERIA

Populations: Seem appropriate as described

Exposures:

I) Relevant Forms- Revise focus beyond "inorganic vanadium compounds listed" to include forms that breakdown to inorganic forms. Delete "pharmacologic uses" describe as forms relevant to inorganic vanadium. Address V forms in food.

2) Human-Include systemic effects from worker exposure studies and clinical studies where the exposures are to inorganic forms

3) Animal- Revise focus to include systemic effects from animal inhalation studies where exposures are to inorganic forms

IRIS ASSESSMENT PLAN for VANADIUM COMPOUNDS SCOPING ISSUES- PECO CRITERIA

Comparators : Human: Broaden to include- A comparable comparison or referent population exposed or evaluated in the same manner at a similar time. Note, confounders need to be considered.

Animal: Seems appropriate as described

Outcomes: Seems appropriate as described

PK/PBPK: Include biomarkers of exposure, ex blood V or urinary V

A CASE FOR BROADENING THE SCOPE OF THE IRIS RFD LITERATURE ON VANADIUM COMPOUNDS

The Value of Inhalation Data:

- Adds to the database to check for consistency of toxic effects
- Permits time course evaluation for endpoints of interest
- Adds a robust data set for systemic pathology
- Contains studies with larger animal group sizes
- Longer exposure times including chronic
- Adds to the comparison of animal and human data
- Provides a biomarker of exposure to relate to oral studies

A CASE FOR BROADENING THE SCOPE OF THE IRIS RFD LITERATURE ON VANADIUM COMPOUNDS

Some of the most robust studies of <u>systemic effects</u> of vanadium compounds are from studies using the inhalation route

Ex. NTP #507 2002 A series of V_2O_5 whole body inhalation exposures to rats and mice including 16day, 3mo and 2yr. studies with large group sizes. The target organ was the lung, the site of contact, and not relevant to the RfD, however, a full list of systemic endpoints were assessed including clinical chemistries, hematology, cardiovascular endpoints, full histopathology all tissues all animals. Data tables reported in Appendices. Data Records & tissue specimens in the NTP archives.

**Levels of vanadium measured in blood in a satellite study at 5 time points up to 545 days (NTP 2002 appendix K; Dill et al 2004; NTP archive lab report) providing a biomarker of systemic exposure

Ex. Kiviluoto et al. 1978-1981 published a series of 7 studies of health effects of vanadium workers, one a study of clinical chemistry parameters

2.5 KEY SCIENCE ISSUES IAP P33

Issue #1 and #2 As Written Both Include Important Elements, but clarify-

#1 States bioavailability could be an issue, then concludes separate toxicity evaluations will be done. #2 Not clear if the speciation pertains to what form is administered or exists in vivo or both?

Key Issues to consider:

- Is there a difference in intrinsic systemic toxicity among vanadium compounds or just a difference in absorption and bioavailability?
- Can the blood vanadium level or other biomarker be a useful predictor of toxicity?
- Are there effects that occur for intermediate exposures but show accommodation with time?
- The database contains many limited animal and human studies; is there concordance of effects across studies in animals compared to humans?