	Department of Defense Comments on							
DCM_ToxReview_AR-IASD draft_6-29-11_CLEAN.pdf								
Comments submitted by: Chemical Material Risk Management Directorate			Organization: Department of Defense	Date Submitted: 7/25/2011				
	t categories: Science ns or implementation		corial, grammar/spelling, clarifications needed (E); or	Other (O). Also please indicate if Major i.e. affects	the outcome,			
Comment No.	t Section	Pages	Comment	Suggested Action, Revision and References (if necessary)	*Category			
1	Global, Cross- chemical issue	Global	<u>Conclusions regarding the mode of action (MOA)</u> <u>appear to be inconsistent across chemicals.</u> For example for tetrachloroethylene (PCE), EPA has concluded that it cannot determine the mode of action. For DCM, EPA has concluded that the chemical has a mutagenic mode of action, which do not agree with at low doses of DCM We also do not belive the MOA was well described and did not list key events. Yet it appears that there is more information for each of the issues regarding mode of action for PCE than for DCM, especially if one considers the amount of information of the very closely related chemical TCE.	EPA should evaluate the all chemicals by the same set of criteria. EPA should explain the specific data and inferences that allow it to determine that DCM has a mutagenic mode of action while it cannot determine the mode of action for PCE when the data appear very similar.	S/M			
2	4.5.1. Genotoxicity Studies	167	EPA continues to discuss genotoxicity when the relevant data for determining a mutagenic MOA are mutagenicity data. The results presented are mixed, and those that are positive are generally positive only at very high levels that are unlikely to occur for ambient environmental	DoD recommends that, as encouraged by EPA's 2005 cancer guidelines, EPA differentiate the MOA for higher and lower levels of exposure and calculate toxicity values for both separtely.	S/M			

			exposures. DoD believes that the data		
			presented does not demonstrate that DCM is		
			mutagenic at lower levels of exposure. As EPA's		
			cancer guidelines encourages the use of		
			different MOAs for different levels of exposure		
			when the data indicate that such differences are		
			likely, DoD suggests that EPA use this flexibility		
			and state that a mutagenic MOA might occur at		
			occupational levels but is not likely to occur at		
			ambient environmental levels absent a nearby		
			source.		
			One of the external peer reviewers questioned		
	5	Table 5.5	EPA's selection of hepatic vacuolation (Nitschke		
			et al., 1988a) as the critical effect for deriving the	We concur with the external peer reviewer's	
			DCM RfC. The reviewer notes that it appeared	recommendation to include a more thorough	
			to be a high-dose effect in female rats only, the	evaluation in the main section of the document	
			effect was incompletely reported in the male rat,	(not just in Appendix A). Please follow the	
			and had no human correlate. We agree with this	reviewer's recommendation and discuss the	
3			suggestion that these limitations should be	weaknesses of the Nitschke et al. (1988a) study	S
			discussed in the text, with EPA's response. EPA	related to the liver lesion data, this will give	
			agreed that the Nitschke et al. study showed no	a more rigorous and balanced scientific	
			linear dose-response across the experimental	discussion of the limitations of the critical study	
			dose ranges (0 to 500 ppm). The incidence of	used to derive the RfC.	
			59% hepatic vacuolation for the controls (no	used to derive the KIC.	
			DCM exposure) also is also of concern.		
	Appendix A	Page A-21, B5 Response	The text states that "A response that addresses		
			the critical effect (hepatic vacuolization) is	It appears as if "RfD" should be changed to "RfC" as Question B-5 refers to the RfC.	E
4			provided under RfD Charge Question B6. A		
			response that addresses the recommendation		
			for an exposure response array based on		
	I			1	1

	internal dose metrics is provided under RfD	
	Charge Question B1."	