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## 17. CONSUMER PRODUCTS

### 17.1. INTRODUCTION

### 17.1.1. Background

Consumer products may contain toxic or potentially toxic chemical constituents to which people may be exposed as a result of their use. For example, household cleaners can contain ammonia, alcohols, acids, and/or organic solvents that may pose health concerns. Potential routes of exposure to consumer products or chemicals released from consumer products during use include ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact. These household consumer products include cleaners, solvents, and paints. Non-users, including children, can be passively exposed to chemicals in these products. Because people spend a large amount of time indoors, the use of household chemicals in the indoor environment can be a principal source of exposure (Franklin, 2008).

Very little information is available about the exact way the different kinds of products are used by consumers, including the many ways in which these products are handled, the frequency and duration of contact, and the measures consumers may take to minimize exposure or risk (Steenbekkers, 2001). In addition, the factors that influence these behaviors are not well studied, but some studies have shown that a large variation exists in behavior between persons (Steenbekkers, 2001).

This chapter presents information on the amount of product used, the frequency of use, and the duration of use for various consumer products typically found in consumer households. All tables that present information for these consumer products are located at the end of this chapter.

Note that this chapter does not provide an exhaustive treatment of all consumer products, but rather, it provides some background and data that can be used in an exposure assessment. Also, the data presented may not capture the information needed to assess the highly exposed population (i.e., consumers who use commercial and industrial strength products at home). The studies presented in the following sections represent readily available surveys for which data were collected on the frequency and duration of use and the amount of use of cleaning products, painting products, household solvent products, cosmetic and other personal care products, household equipment, pesticides, and tobacco. Also note that some of the data in this chapter comes from corporate, consortia, or trade organizations.

### 17.1.2. Additional Sources of Information

There are several sources of information on data relevant to consumer products. Table 17-1 provides a list of household consumer products found in some U.S. households (U.S. EPA, 1987). It should be noted, however, that this list was compiled by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1987, and consumer use of some products listed may have changed (e.g., aerosol product use has declined). Therefore, refer to the Household Product Database of the National Library of Medicine database as a source of more current information on the types of products used. This database contains over 7,000 consumer brands including auto products; products used inside the home; pesticides; landscape and yard; personal care; home maintenance, arts, and crafts; pet care; and home office. The information includes chemical ingredients, specific brands that contain those ingredients, and acute and chronic health effects associated with specific ingredients. The database does not contain any information on frequency or amount of product used.

The Soaps and Detergent Association (SDA) developed a peer-reviewed document that presents methodologies and specific exposure information that can be used for screening-level risk assessments from exposures to high production volume chemicals. The document addresses the use of consumer products, including laundry, cleaning, and personal care products. It includes data for daily frequency of use and the amount of product used. The data used were compiled from a number of sources including cosmetic associations and data from the SDA. The document Exposure and Risk Screening Methods for Consumer Product Ingredients can be found on the SDA Web site at http://www.cleaning101.com/files/ Exposure_and_Risk_Screening_Methods_for_Consu mer_Product_Ingredients.pdf.

Another document has been developed by the U.S. EPA Office of Toxic Substances (1986a, b): Standard Scenarios for Estimating Exposure to Chemical Substances During Use of Consumer Products - Volumes I and II. This document presents data and supporting information required to assess consumer exposure to constituents in household cleaners and components of adhesives. Its information includes a description of standard scenarios selected to represent upper bound exposures for each product. Values also are presented for parameters needed to estimate exposure for defined exposure routes and pathways assumed for each scenario.

An additional reference is the Simmons Market Research Bureau's (SMRB's) Simmons Study of

Media and Markets. This document provides an example of available marketing data that may be useful in assessing exposure to selected products. The report is published biannually. Data are collected on the buying habits of the U.S. population during the previous 12 months for more than 1,000 consumer products. Data are presented on frequency of use, total number of buyers in each use category, and selected demographics. The consumer product data are presented according to the buyer and not necessarily according to the user (i.e., actively exposed person). Therefore, it may be necessary to adjust the data to reflect potential uses. The reports are available for purchase from the SMRB. Table 17-2 presents a list of product categories in the Simmons Study of Media and Markets for which information is available.

### 17.2. RECOMMENDATIONS

Because of the large range and variation among consumer products and their exposure pathways, it is not feasible to recommend specific exposure values as has been done in other chapters of this handbook. Refer to the information provided by the references of this chapter to derive appropriate exposure factors. The following sections of this chapter provide summaries of data from surveys involving the use of consumer products.

### 17.3. CONSUMER PRODUCTS USE STUDIES

### 17.3.1. CTFA (1983)—Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association, Inc.-Summary of Results of Surveys of the Amount and Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Products by Women

The Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association, Inc. (CTFA, 1983), a major manufacturer and a market research bureau, published three surveys that collected data on the frequency of use of various cosmetic products and selected baby products. In the first survey, CTFA (1983) conducted a 1-week prospective survey of 47 female employees and relatives of employees between ages 13 and 61 years. In the second survey, a cosmetic manufacturer conducted a retrospective survey of 1,129 of its customers. In the third survey, a market research bureau sampled 19,035 female consumers nationwide over a $91 / 2$-month period. Of the 19,035 females interviewed, responses from only 9,684 females were tabulated (CTFA, 1983). The respondents in all three surveys were asked to record the number of times they used the various products in a given time period (i.e., a week, a day, a month, or a
year). The third survey also was designed to reflect the socio-demographic (e.g., age, income) characteristics of the entire U.S. population.

To obtain the average frequency of use for each cosmetic product, responses were averaged for each product in each survey. Averages were calculated by adding the reported number of uses per given time period for each product, dividing by the total number of respondents in the survey, and then dividing again by the number of days in the given time period (CTFA, 1983). The average frequency of use of cosmetic products was determined for both users and non-users. The frequency of use of baby products was determined among users only. The upper $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile frequency of use values were determined by eliminating the top $10 \%$ most extreme frequencies of use. Therefore, the highest remaining frequency of use was recorded as the upper $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile value. Table $17-3$ presents the amount of product used per application (grams) and the average and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile frequency of use per day for various cosmetic products for all the surveys. Note that Table $17-3$ reports values provided by cosmetic companies, associations, or market research firms.

An advantage of the frequency data obtained from the third survey (by the market research bureau) is that the sample population was more likely to be representative of the U.S. population. Another advantage of the third data set is that the survey was conducted over a longer period of time when compared with the other two frequency datasets. Also, the study provided empirical data that may be useful in generating more accurate estimates of consumer exposure to cosmetic products. In contrast to the large market research bureau survey, the CTFA employee survey is very small, and both that survey and the cosmetic company survey are likely to be biased toward high-end users. Therefore, data from these two surveys should be used with caution. The limitations of these surveys are that data were not tabulated by age, are more than 20 years old, and are only representative of products used by babies and female consumers. Another limitation is that these data may not be representative of long-term use patterns.

### 17.3.2. Westat (1987a)—Household Solvent Products: A National Usage Survey

Westat (1987a) conducted a nationwide survey to determine consumer exposure to common household products believed to contain methylene chloride or its substitutes (i.e., carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethane, trichloroethylene, perchloroethylene, and 1,1,1,2,2,2-
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trichlorotrifluoroethane). The survey methodology was comprised of two phases. In the first phase, the sample population was generated by using a random digit dialing (RDD) procedure, in which telephone numbers of households nationwide were randomly selected by using an unbiased, equal probability of selection method, known as the Waksberg Method (Westat, 1987a). After the respondents in the selected households (18 years and older) agreed to participate in the survey, questionnaires and product pictures were mailed to each respondent. Finally, telephone follow-up calls were made to those respondents who did not respond to the mailed questionnaire within a 4-week period to administer the same questionnaire. Of the 6,700 individuals contacted for the survey, 4,920 individuals either responded to the mailed questionnaire or to a telephone interview (a response rate of $73 \%$ ). Survey questions included how often the products were used in the last 12 months, when they were last used, how much time was spent using a product (per occasion or year), how long the respondent remained in the room after use, how much of a product was used per occasion or year, and what protective measures were used (Westat, 1987a).

Thirty-two categories of common household products were included in the survey and are presented in Table 17-4. Table 17-4, Table 17-5, Table 17-6, and Table 17-7 provide means, medians, and percentile rankings for the following variables: frequency of use, exposure time, amount of use, and time exposed after use.

An advantage of this study is that the RDD procedure (i.e., Waksberg Method) to identify participants enabled a diverse selection of a representative, unbiased sample of the U.S. population (Westat, 1987a). Also, empirical data on consumer household product use are provided. However, a limitation associated with this study is that the data generated were based on recall behavior. Another limitation is that extrapolation of these data to long-term use patterns may be difficult; the data are more than 20 years old and cannot be broken out by age groups.

### 17.3.3. Westat (1987c)—National Usage Survey of Household Cleaning Products

Westat (1987c) collected usage data from a nationwide survey to assess the magnitude of exposure of consumers to various products used when performing certain household cleaning tasks. The survey was conducted from the middle of November 1985 to the middle of January 1986. Telephone interviews were conducted with 193 households. According to Westat (1987c), the
resulting response rate for this survey was $78 \%$. The Waksberg Method discussed in the Westat (1987a) study also was used in randomly selecting telephone numbers employed in this survey. The survey was designed to obtain information on cleaning activities performed in the interior of the home during the previous year. The person who did the majority of the cleaning in the kitchen and bathroom areas of each household was interviewed. Of those respondents, the primary cleaner was female in 160 households (83\%) and male in 30 households (16\%); the sex of the respondents in the three remaining households was not ascertained (Westat, 1987c). Data obtained from the survey included the frequency of performing 14 different cleaning tasks, the amount of time (duration) spent at each task, the cleaning product most frequently used, the type of product (i.e., liquid, powder, aerosol, or spray pump) used, and the protective measures taken during cleaning, such as wearing rubber gloves or having a window open or an exhaust fan on (Westat, 1987c).

Table 17-8 through Table 7-12 present the survey data. Table 17-8 presents the mean and median total exposure time of use for each cleaning task and the product type preferred for each task. Table 17-9 presents the percentile rankings for the total time exposed to the products used for 14 cleaning tasks. Table 17-10 presents the mean and percentile rankings of the frequency in performing each task. Table 17-11 shows the mean and percentile rankings for exposure time per event of performing household tasks. Table 17-12 presents the mean and percentile rankings for total number of hours spent per year using the top 10 product groups.

Westat (1987c) randomly selected a subset of 30 respondents from the original survey and reinterviewed them during the first 2 weeks of March 1986 as a reliability check on the recall data from the original phone survey. Frequency and duration data for 3 of the original 14 cleaning tasks were obtained from the re-interviews. In a second effort to validate the phone survey, 50 respondents of the original phone survey participated in a 4-week diary study (between February and March 1986) of 8 of the 14 cleaning tasks originally studied. The diary approach assessed the validity of using a 1-time telephone survey to determine usual cleaning behavior (Westat, 1987c). The data (i.e., frequency and duration) obtained from the re-interviews and the diary approach were lower than the data from the original telephone survey, but were more consistent with one other. Westat (1987c) attributed the significant differences in the data obtained from these surveys to seasonal changes rather than methodological problems.

A limitation of this survey is evident from the reliability and validity check of the data collected by Westat (1987c). The data obtained from the telephone survey may reflect heavier seasonal cleaning because the survey was conducted during the holidays (November through January). Therefore, usage data obtained in this study may be biased and may represent upper bound estimates. Other limitations of this study include the small size of the sample population, the age of the data set, and that the data cannot be broken out by age groups. An advantage of this survey is that the RDD procedure (Waksberg Method) used provides unbiased results of sample selection and reduces the number of unproductive calls. Another advantage of this study is that it provides empirical data on frequency and duration of consumer use.

### 17.3.4. Westat (1987b)—National Household Survey of Interior Painters

Westat (1987b) conducted a nationwide study between November 1985 and January 1986 to obtain usage information that estimates the magnitude of exposure of consumers to different types of painting and painting-related products used while painting the interior of the home. The study sampled 777 households to determine whether any household member had painted the interior of the home during the 12 months prior to the survey date. Of the sampled households, 208 households (27\%) had a household member who had painted during the past 12 months. Based on the households with primary painters, the response rate was $90 \%$ (Westat, 1987b). The person in each household who did most of the interior painting during the past 12 months was interviewed over the telephone. The RDD procedure (Waksberg Method) previously described in Westat (1987a) was used to generate sample blocks of telephone numbers in this survey. Questions were asked about the frequency and time spent for interior painting activities, the amount of paint used, and the protective measures used (i.e., wearing gloves, hats, and masks or keeping a window open) (Westat, 1987b). Fifty-three percent of the primary painters in the households interviewed were male, $46 \%$ were female, and the sex of the remaining $1 \%$ was not ascertained. Three types of painting products were used in this study: latex paint, oil-based paint, and wood stains and varnishes. Of the respondents, $94.7 \%$ used latex paint, $16.8 \%$ used oil-based paint, and $20.2 \%$ used wood stains and varnishes.

Table 17-13, Table 17-14, and Table 17-15 summarize data generated from this survey. Table 17-13 presents the mean, standard deviation, and
percentile rankings for the total exposure time for painting activity by paint type. Table 17-14 presents the mean and median exposure times for each painting activity per occasion for each paint type. A painting occasion is defined as a time period from start to cleanup (Westat, 1987b). Table 17-14 also presents the frequency and percentile rankings of painting occasions per year. Table 17-15 presents the total amount of paint used by interior painters.

In addition, 30 respondents from the original survey were re-interviewed in April 1986 as a reliability check on the recall data. There were no significant differences between the data obtained from the re-interviews and the original painting survey (Westat, 1987b).

An advantage of this survey, based on the reliability check conducted by Westat (1987b), is the stability in the painting data obtained. Another advantage of this survey is that the response rate was high ( $90 \%$ ), thus minimizing non-response bias. Also, the Waksberg Method employed provides an unbiased equal probability method of RDD. The limitations of the survey are that the data are based on 12 -month recall and may not accurately reflect long-term use patterns and the age of the data set.

### 17.3.5. Abt (1992)—Methylene Chloride Consumer Use Study Survey Findings

As part of a plan to assess the effectiveness of labeling of consumer products containing methylene chloride, Abt (1992) conducted a nationwide telephone survey of nearly 5,000 households. The survey was conducted in April and May of 1991. Three classes of products were included: (1) paint strippers, (2) non-automotive spray paint, and (3) adhesive removers. The survey paralleled a 1986 consumer use survey conducted by Abt for the U.S. EPA.

The survey was conducted to estimate the percentage of the U.S. adult population using paint remover, adhesive remover, and non-automotive spray paint. In addition, an estimate of the population using these products containing methylene chloride was determined. A survey questionnaire was developed to collect product usage data and demographic data. The survey sample was generated using a RDD technique.

A total of 4,997 product screener interviews were conducted for the product interview sections. The number of respondents was 381 for paint strippers, 58 for adhesive removers, and 791 for non-automotive spray paint. Survey responses were weighted to allow estimation at the level of the total U.S. population (Abt, 1992). A follow-up mail survey
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also was conducted by using a short questionnaire. Respondents who had used the product in the past year or had purchased the product in the past 2 years and still had the container were asked to respond to the questionnaire (Abt, 1992). Of the 527 mailed questionnaires, 259 were returned. The questionnaire responses included 67 on paint strippers, 6 on adhesive removers, and 186 on non-automotive spray paint. Table 17-16 through Table 17-21 (Ns are unweighted) present the results of the survey. Data are presented for recent users, who were defined as persons who have used the product within the last year of the survey or who have purchased the product in the past 2 years.

Abt (1992) found the following results when comparing the new data to the 1986 findings:

- A significantly smaller proportion of current survey respondents used a paint stripper, spray paint, or adhesive remover.
- $\quad$ The proportion of the population who used the three products recently (within the past year) decreased substantially.
- Those who used the products reported a significantly longer time since their last use. For all three products, the reported amount used per year was significantly higher in the current survey.

An advantage of this survey is that the survey population was large, and the survey responses were weighted to represent the U.S. population. In addition, the survey was designed to collect data for frequency of product use and amount of product used by sex. Limitations of the survey are that the information may be dated, and that the data were generated based on recall behavior. Extrapolation of these data to accurately reflect long-term use patterns may be difficult.

### 17.3.6. U.S. EPA (1996)—National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS)

U.S. EPA (1996) collected data on the duration and frequency of selected activities and the time spent in selected microenvironments via 24-hour diaries as part of the National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS). More than 9,000 individuals from various age groups in 48 contiguous states participated in NHAPS, including 2,000 children. The survey was conducted between October 1992 and September 1994. Individuals were interviewed to categorize their 24-hour routines (diaries) and/or to
answer follow-up questions that were related to exposure events. Demographic, including socioeconomic (e.g., sex, age, race, education), geographic (e.g., census region, state), and temporal (i.e., day of week, month, season) data were included in the study. Data were collected for a maximum of 82 possible microenvironments and 91 different activities.

As part of the survey, data also were collected on duration and frequency of use of selected consumer products. Table 17-22 through Table 17-30 present data on the number of minutes that survey respondents spent in activities working with or being near certain consumer products, including microwave ovens; freshly applied paints; household cleaning agents such as scouring powders or ammonia; floor wax, furniture wax, or shoe polish; glue; solvents, fumes, or strong-smelling chemicals; stain or spot removers; gasoline, diesel-powered equipment, or automobiles; and pesticides, bug sprays, or bug strips. Table 17-31 through Table 17-35 present data on the number of respondents in these age categories that used fragrances, aerosol sprays, humidifiers, and pesticides (professionally-applied and consumerapplied). Because the age categories used by the study authors did not coincide with the standardized age categories recommended in U.S. EPA (2005) and used elsewhere in this handbook, the source data from NHAPS on pesticide use (professionally applied and consumer-applied) were reanalyzed by U.S. EPA to generate data for the standardized age categories. Data for subsets of the $1^{\text {st }}$ year of life (e.g., 1 to 2 months, 3 to 5 months, etc.) were not available.

As discussed in previous chapters that used NHAPS as a data source, the primary advantage is that the data were collected for a large number of individuals, and the survey was designed to be representative of the U.S. general population. However, due to the wording of questions in the survey, precise data were not available for consumers who spent more than 60 or 120 minutes (depending on the activity) using some consumer products. This prevents accurate characterization of the high end of the distribution and also may introduce error into the calculation of the mean. Another limitation is that the adult data were not broken down into finer age categories. These data are also based on 24-hour diaries and may not be representative of long-term use patterns.

### 17.3.7. Bass et al. (2001)—What's Being Used at Home: A Household Pesticide Survey

Bass et al. (2001) conducted a survey to assess the use of pesticide products in homes with
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children in March 1999. The study obtained information on what pesticides were used, where they were used, and how frequently they were used. A total of 107 households in Arizona that had a least one child less than 10 years old in the household and had used a pesticide within the last 6 months were surveyed (Bass et al., 2001). The survey population was composed predominantly of Hispanic females and represented a survey response rate of approximately $74 \%$. Study participants were selected by systematic random sampling. Pesticide use was assessed by a one-on-one interview in the home. Survey questions pertained to household pesticides used inside the house for insect control and outside the house for controlling weeds in the garden and repelling animals from the garden. As part of the interview, information was gathered on the pesticides' frequency of use.

Table 17-36 presents information on the type, characteristics, and frequency of pesticide use, as well as information on the demographics of the survey population. A total of 148 pesticide products were used in the 107 households surveyed. Respondents had used pesticides in the kitchen, bathroom, floors, baseboards, and cabinets with dishes or cookware. The frequency of use data showed the following: about $32 \%$ of the households used pesticides once per week or more; about $44 \%$ used the products once per month or once in 3 months; and about $19 \%$ used the products once in 6 months or once per year (Bass et al., 2001).

Although this study was limited to a selected area in Arizona, it provides useful information on the frequency of use of pesticides among households with children. This may be useful for populations in similar geographical locations where site-specific data are not available. However, these data are the result of a community-based survey and are not representative of the U.S. general population.

### 17.3.8. Weegels and van Veen (2001)—Variation of Consumer Contact With Household Products: A Preliminary Investigation

Weegels and van Veen (2001) conducted a survey to determine consumer exposure to common household products used once a day or every other day. Thirty households participated in the study, including 10 families with children, 10 couples, 9 individuals, and 1 household of 6 adults from the city of Delft in The Netherlands. Households were recruited through the Usability Panel of the School of Industrial Design and through public notices and pamphlets.

Three types of products were studied: dishwashing detergent, all-purpose cleaners, and hairstyling products. Three activities in which these products are commonly used were studied in more detail: dishwashing, toilet cleaning, and styling hair. In-home observations, diaries, and measurement of the amount of product utilized were used to collect data. Subjects were visited in their homes and videotaped performing the activities. After 3 weeks, subjects were again visited in their homes and videotaped performing activities, diaries were collected, and the amount of product used was measured.

Table 17-37 presents the survey data. During toilet cleaning, 22 of 29 subjects observed used at least two different products (e.g., toilet cleaner, allpurpose cleaner, and/or abrasive cleaner). The large variation in duration of toilet cleaning was due to the diverse ways in which toilet cleaner was used: some subjects left the toilet cleaner to soak overnight, some left it in the bowl while cleaning the remainder of the toilet, others flushed the toilet immediately after cleaning. The authors noted that the findings of the study suggest that "...individuals have a consistent way of using a product for a particular activity, but there is a large variety in product usage among consumers, with relations among frequency, durations and amount. If this conclusion is confirmed by future research, it suggests that there will be people who exhibit high-end use of products and will, most likely follow their own routine, which may have consequences for the definition of worst-case use of consumer products."

An advantage of this study is that the empirical data generated provide more accurate calculations of exposure than studies relying on recall data. Limitations of the study are the small study population (30 households) and that The Netherlands may not be representative of U.S. population behaviors. Another limitation is that the short duration (3 weeks) may not accurately reflect longterm or seasonal usage patterns.

### 17.3.9. Loretz et al. (2005)—Exposure Data for Cosmetic Products: Lipstick, Body Lotion, and Face Cream

Loretz et al. (2005) conducted a nationwide survey to estimate the usage (i.e., frequency of application and amount used per application) of lipstick, body lotion, and face cream. The study was conducted in 2000 and included 360 study subjects recruited in 10 U.S. cities (i.e., Atlanta, GA; Boston, MA; Chicago, IL; Denver, CO; Houston, TX; Minneapolis, MN; St. Louis, MO; San Bernardino,
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CA; Tampa Bay, FL; and Seattle, WA). The survey participants were women, ages 19 to 65 years, who regularly used the products of interest. Typical cosmetic formulations of the three product types were weighed and provided to the women for use over a 2-week period. Subjects recorded information on product usage (e.g., whether the product was used, number of applications, time of applications) on a daily basis in a diary provided to them. At the end of the 2 -week period, unused portions of product were returned and weighed. The amount of product used was estimated as the difference between the weight of product at the beginning and end of the survey period. Of the 360 subjects, $86.4 \%, 83.3 \%$, and $85.6 \%$ completed the study and returned the diaries for lipstick, body lotion, and face cream, respectively (Loretz et al., 2005).

Table 17-38 and Table 17-39 present the survey data. Table 17-38 provides the mean, median, and standard deviations for the frequency of use. Table 17-39 provides distribution data for the total amount applied, the average amount applied per use day, and the average amount applied per application.

An advantage of this study is that the survey population covered a diverse geographical area of the United States and that it was not based on recall data. A limitation of the study is that the short duration (2 weeks) may not accurately reflect long-term usage patterns. Another limitation is that the study only included women who already used the products; therefore, the usage patterns are not representative of the entire female population. Also, the data are not presented by age group.

### 17.3.10. Loretz et al. (2006)—Exposure Data for Personal Care Products: Hairspray, Spray Perfume, Liquid Foundation, Shampoo, Body Wash, and Solid Antiperspirant

Loretz et al. (2006) conducted a nationwide survey to determine the usage (i.e., frequency of use and amount used) of hairspray, spray perfume, liquid foundation, shampoo, body wash, and solid antiperspirant. The survey was similar to that described by Loretz et al. (2005). This study was conducted in 2000 and 2001. A total of 360 women were recruited from 10 U.S. cities (Atlanta, GA; Boston, MA; Chicago, IL; Denver, CO; Houston, TX; Minneapolis, MN; St. Louis, MO; San Bernardino, CA; Tampa Bay, FL; and Seattle, WA). The survey participants were women, ages 19 to 65 years old, who regularly used the test products. Subjects kept daily records on product usage (e.g., whether the product was used, number of applications, time of
applications) in a diary. For spray perfume, liquid foundation, and body wash, subjects recorded the body areas where these products were applied. For shampoo, subjects recorded information on their hair type (i.e., length, thickness, oiliness, straight or curly, and color treated or not). At the end of the 2-week period, unused portions of products were returned and weighed. Of the 360 subjects recruited per product, the study was completed by $91 \%$ of participants for hairspray, $91 \%$ for spray perfume, $94 \%$ for liquid foundation, and $94 \%$ for shampoo, body wash, and solid antiperspirant.

Table 17-40 through Table 17-42 present the survey data. Table 17-40 provides the minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviations for the frequency of use. Table 17-41 provides percentile values for the amount of product applied per application. Table 17-42 provides distribution data for the amount applied per use day.

An advantage of this study is that the survey population covered a diverse geographical range of the United States and that it did not rely on recall data. A limitation of the study is that the short duration (2 weeks) may not accurately reflect longterm usage patterns. Another limitation is that the study only included women who already used these products; therefore, the usage patterns are not entirely representative of the entire female population. Also, the data are not presented by age group.

### 17.3.11. Hall et al. (2007)—European Consumer Exposure to Cosmetic Products, a Framework for Conducting Population Exposure Assessments

European cosmetic manufacturers constructed a probabilistic European population model of exposure for six cosmetic products: body lotion, deodorant/antiperspirant, lipstick, facial moisturizer, shampoo, and toothpaste (Hall et al., 2007). Data were collected by using both market information databases and a controlled product use study from 44,100 households and 18,057 individual consumers, creating a sample of the 249 million inhabitants of the 15 countries in the European Union. Tables Table 17-43 through Table 17-50 show the amount used in $\mathrm{g} /$ day and $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. The study found an inverse correlation between frequency of product use and quantity used per application for body lotion, facial moisturizer, toothpaste, and shampoo, and so the authors cautioned against calculating daily exposure to these products by multiplying the maximum frequency value by the maximum quantity per event value.
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The advantage of this study is that it included a large sample size. However, behaviors and activities in the European population may not be representative of the U.S. population, and results were not broken out by age groups.

### 17.3.12. Loretz et al. (2008)—Exposure Data for Cosmetic Products: Facial Cleanser, Hair Conditioner, and Eye Shadow

Loretz et al. (2008) used the data from a study conducted in 2005 to estimate frequency of use and usage amount for facial cleanser, hair conditioner, and eye shadow. The study was conducted in a similar manner as Loretz et al. (2006; 2005). A total of 360 women, ages 18 to 69 years, were recruited by telephone to provide diary records of product use during a 2 -week period. The study subjects were representative of four U.S. Census regions (i.e., Northeast, Midwest, South, and West). A total of 295, 297, and 299 women completed the study for facial cleanser, hair conditioner, and eye shadow, respectively.

The participants recorded daily in a diary whether the product was used that day, the number of applications, and the time of applications during a 2-week period. Products were weighed at the start and completion of the study to determine the amount used. A statistical analysis of the data was conducted to provide summary distributions of use patterns, including number of applications, amount used per day, and amount of product used per application for each product. Table 17-51 provides data on the number of applications per use day. Table 17-52 shows the average amounts of product applied per use day, while Table 17-53 shows the average amounts of product applied per application.

The advantages of this study are that it is representative of the U.S. female population for users of the products studied, it provides data for frequency of use and amount used, and it provides distribution data. A limitation of the study is that the data were not provided by age group. In addition, the participants were regular users of the product, so the amount applied and the frequency of use may be higher than for other individuals who may use the products. According to Loretz et al. (2008), "...variability in amount used by the different subjects is high, but consistent with the data from other cosmetic and personal care studies." The authors also noted that it was not clear if the high-end users of products represented true usage. Data were also collected over a 2-week period and may not be representative of long-term usage patterns.

### 17.3.13. Sathyanarayana et al. (2008)—Baby Care Products; Possible Sources of Infant Phthalate Exposure

Sathyanarayana et al. (2008) investigated dermal exposure to phthalates via the dermal application of personal care products. The study was conducted on 163 infants born between 2000 and 2005. The products studied were baby lotion, baby powder, baby shampoo, diaper cream, and baby wipes. Infants were recruited through Future Families, a multicenter pregnancy cohort study, at prenatal clinics in Los Angeles, CA; Minneapolis, MN; and Columbia, MO. Although the study was designed to assess exposure to phthalates, the authors collected information on the percentage of the total participants who used the baby products. Data were collected from questionnaire responses of the mothers and at study visits. Table 17-54 shows the characteristics and the percentage of the population using the studied baby products. Of the 163 infants studied, $94 \%$ of the participants used baby wipes, and $54 \%$ used infant shampoo.

The advantages of this study are that it specifically targeted consumer products used by children, it captured the percentage of the study population using these products, and it collected the data from a diverse ethnic population. The limitation is that these data may not be entirely representative of the U.S. population because the study population was from only three states and the sample size was small. Also, this study did not contain any information on amount or frequency of product use.
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| Table 17-1. Consumer Products Commonly Found in Some U.S. Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Product Category | Consumer Product |
| Cosmetics Hygiene Products |  |
| Household Furnishings | - Carpeting - Shower curtains  <br> - Draperies/curtains - <br> - Vinyl upholstery, furniture  |
| Garment Conditioning Products | - Anti-static spray (aerosol) • <br> Suede cleaner/polish (liquid and   <br> - Leather treatment (liquid and wax) - <br> - Shoxtile water-proofing (aerosol)  |
| Household Maintenance Products | - Adhesive (general) (liquid) <br> - Bleach (household) (liquid) <br> - Bleach (see laundry) <br> - Candles <br> - Cat box litter <br> - Charcoal briquettes <br> - Charcoal lighter fluid <br> - Drain cleaner (liquid and powder) <br> - Dishwasher detergent (powder) <br> - Dishwashing liquid <br> - Fabric dye (DIY) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> - Fabric rinse/softener (liquid) <br> - Fabric rinse/softener (powder) <br> - Fertilizer (garden) (liquid) <br> - Fertilizer (garden) (powder) <br> - Fire extinguishers (aerosol) <br> - Floor polish/wax (liquid) <br> - Food packaging and packaged food <br> - Furniture polish (liquid) <br> - Furniture polish (aerosol) <br> - General cleaner/disinfectant (liquid) <br> - General cleaner (powder) <br> - General cleaner/disinfectant (aerosol and pump) <br> - General spot/stain remover (liquid) <br> - General spot/stain remover (aerosol and pump) <br> - Herbicide (garden-patio) (liquid and aerosol) <br> - Insecticide (home and garden) (powder) <br> - Insecticide (home and garden) (aerosol and pump) <br> - Insect repellent (liquid and aerosol) <br> - Laundry detergent/bleach (liquid) <br> - Laundry detergent (powder) <br> - Laundry prewash/soak (powder) <br> - Laundry prewash/soak (liquid) <br> - Laundry prewash/soak (aerosol and pump) <br> - Lubricant oil (liquid) <br> - Lubricant (aerosol) <br> - Matches <br> - Metal polish <br> - Oven cleaner (aerosol) <br> - Pesticide (home) (solid) <br> - Pesticide (pet dip) (liquid) <br> - Pesticide (pet) (powder) <br> - Pesticide (pet) (aerosol) <br> - Pesticide (pet) (collar) <br> - Petroleum fuels (home) (liquid and aerosol) <br> - Rug cleaner/shampoo (liquid and aerosol) <br> - Rug deodorizer/freshener (powder) <br> - Room deodorizer (solid) <br> - Room deodorizer (aerosol) <br> - Scouring pad <br> - Toilet bowl cleaner <br> - Toiler bowl deodorant (solid) Water-treating chemicals (swimming pools) |

Chapter 17-Consumer Products

| Table 17-1. Consu | r Products Commonly Found in Som | Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (continued) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Product Category | Consumer Product |  |
| Home Building/Improvement Products (DIY) | - Adhesives, specialty (liquid) | - Paint/varnish removers |
|  | - Ceiling tile | - Paint thinner/brush cleaners |
|  | - Caulks/sealers/fillers | - Patching/ceiling plaster |
|  | - Dry wall/wall board | - Roofing |
|  | - Flooring (vinyl) | - Refinishing products |
|  | - House paint (interior) (liquid) | (e.g., polyurethane, varnishes) |
|  | - House paint and stain (exterior) | - Spray paints (home) (aerosol) |
|  | - (liquid) | - Wall paneling |
|  | - Insulation (solid) <br> - Insulation (foam) | - Wall paper |
|  | - Insulation (foam) | - Wall paper glue |
| Automobile-Related Products | - Antifreeze <br> - Car polish/wax | - Motor oil <br> - Radiator flush/cleaner |
|  | - Fuel/lubricant additives | - Automotive touch-up paint |
|  | - Gasoline/diesel fuel | (aerosol) |
|  | - Interior upholstery/components, synthetic | - Windshield washer solvents |
| Personal Materials | - Clothes/shoes | - Sheets/towels |
|  | - Diapers/vinyl pants | - Toys (intended to be placed in |
|  | - Jewelry |  |
|  | - Printed material (colorprint, newsprint, photographs) |  |
| a A subjective listing based on consumer use profiles. <br> b DIY $=$ do it yourself. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. EPA (1987). |  |  |

Table 17-2. List of Product Categories in the Simmons Study of Media and Markets

| Table 17-2. List of Product Categories in the Simmons Study of Media and Markets |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| The volumes included in the Media series are as follows: |  |  |
| M1 | Publications: Total Audiences |  |
| M2 | Publications: Qualitative Measurements and In-Home Audiences |  |
| M3 | Publications: Duplication of Audiences |  |
| M4 | Multi-Media Audiences: Adults |  |
| M5 | Multi-Media Audiences: Males |  |
| M6 | Multi-Media Audiences: Females and Mothers |  |
| M7 | Business to Business |  |
| M8 | Multi-Media Reach and Frequency and Television Attentiveness and Special Events |  |
| The following volumes are included in the Product series: |  |  |
| P1 | Automobiles, Cycles, Trucks and Vans |  |
| P2 | Automotive Products and Services |  |
| P3 | Travel |  |
| P4 | Banking, Investments, Insurance, Credit Cards and Contributions, Memberships and Public |  |
|  | Activities |  |
| P5 | Games and Toys, Children's and Babies' Apparel and Specialty Products |  |
| P6 | Computers, Books, Discs, Records, Tapes, Stereo, Telephones, TV and Video |  |
| P7 | Appliances, Garden Care, Sewing and Photography |  |
| P8 | Home Furnishings and Home Improvements |  |
| P9 | Sports and Leisure |  |
| P10 | Restaurants, Stores and Grocery Shopping |  |
| P11 | Direct Mail and Other In-Home Shopping, Yellow Pages, Florist, Telegrams, Faxes and Greeting |  |
|  | Cards |  |
| P12 | Jewelry, Watches, Luggage, Writing Tools and Men's Apparel |  |
| P13 | Women's Apparel |  |
| P14 | Distilled Spirits, Mixed Drinks, Malt Beverages, Wine and Tobacco Products |  |
| P15 | Coffee, Tea, Cocoa, Milk, Soft Drinks, Juices and Bottled Water |  |
| P16 | Dairy Products, Desserts, Baking and Bread Products |  |
| P17 | Cereals and Spreads, Rice, Pasta, Pizza, Mexican Foods, Fruits and Vegetables |  |
| P18 | Soup, Meat, Fish, Poultry, Condiments and Dressings |  |
| P19 | Chewing Gum, Candy, Cookies and Snacks |  |
| P20 | Soap, Laundry, Paper Products and Kitchen Wraps |  |
| P21 | Household Cleaners, Room Deodorizers, Pest Controls and Pet Foods |  |
| P22 | Health Care Products and Remedies |  |
| P23 | Oral Hygiene Products, Skin Care, Deodorants and Drug Stores |  |
| P24 | Hair Care, Shaving Products and Fragrances |  |
| P25 | Women's Beauty Aids, Cosmetics and Personal Products |  |
| P26 | Relative Volume of Consumption |  |
|  |  |  |
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| Table 17 | mount and | quenc | Use of Va | us Cosm | and B | Products |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product Type | Amount of Product per Application ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (grams) | Average Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  | Upper $90^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  |
|  |  | Survey Type |  |  | Survey Type |  |  |
|  |  | CTFA | Cosmetic Co. co. | Market ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Research Bureau | CTFA | Cosmetic Co. | Market Research Bureau |
| Baby Lotion - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.4 | 0.38 | 1.0 | - | 0.57 | 2.0 | - |
| Baby Lotion - adult use | 1.0 | 0.22 | 0.19 | $0.24{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.86 | 1.0 | $1.0^{\text {d }}$ |
| Baby Oil - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.3 | 0.14 | 1.2 | - | 0.14 | 3.0 | - |
| Baby Oil - adult use | 5.0 | 0.06 | 0.13 | - | 0.29 | 0.57 | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Baby Powder - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.8 | 5.36 | 1.5 | $0.35{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 8.43 | 3.0 | $1.0^{\text {d }}$ |
| Baby Powder - adult use | 0.8 | 0.13 | 0.22 | - | 0.57 | 1.0 | - |
| Baby Cream - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | 0.43 | 1.3 | - | 0.43 | 3.0 | - |
| Baby Cream - adult use | - | 0.07 | 0.10 | - | 0.14 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Baby Shampoo - baby use ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.5 | 0.14 | - | $0.11{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0.14 | - | $0.43{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Baby Shampoo - adult use | 5.0 | 0.02 | - | - | $0.86{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Bath Oils | 14.7 | 0.08 | 0.19 | $0.22^{\text {g }}$ | 0.29 | 0.86 | $1.0^{\text {g }}$ |
| Bath Tablets | - | 0.003 | 0.008 | - | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Bath Salts | 18.9 | 0.006 | 0.013 | - | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Bubble Baths | 11.8 | 0.088 | 0.13 | - | 0.43 | 0.57 | - |
| Bath Capsules | - | 0.018 | 0.019 | - | $0.29{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Bath Crystals | - | 0.006 | - | - | $0.29{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Eyebrow Pencil | - | 0.27 | 0.49 | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | - |
| Eyeliner | - | 0.42 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 1.43 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Eye Shadow | - | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.40 | 1.43 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Eye Lotion | - | 0.094 | 0.34 | - | 0.43 | 1.0 | - |
| Eye Makeup Remover | - | 0.29 | 0.45 | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | - |
| Mascara | - | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.46 | 1.29 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Under Eye Cover | - | 0.79 | - | - | 0.29 | - | - |
| Blusher and Rouge | 0.011 | 1.18 | 1.24 | 0.55 | 2.0 | 1.43 | 1.5 |
| Face Powders | 0.085 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 1.29 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Foundations | 0.265 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.47 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Leg and Body Paints | - | 0.003 | 0.011 | - | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Lipstick and Lip Gloss | - | 1.73 | 1.23 | 2.62 | 4.0 | 2.86 | 6.0 |
| Makeup Bases | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.64 | - | 0.86 | 1.0 | - |
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| Product Type | Amount of Product per Application ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (grams) | of Us | Various | smetic an | aby Pr | cts (conti |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  | Upper $90^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  |
|  |  | Survey Type |  |  | Survey Type |  |  |
|  |  | CTFA | Cosmetic Со. | Market ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Research Bureau | CTFA | Cosmetic Со. | Market Research Bureau |
| Makeup Fixatives | - | 0.052 | 0.12 | - | 0.14 | 1.0 | - |
| Sunscreen | 3.18 | 0.003 | - | 0.002 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 0.005 |
| Colognes and Toilet Water | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.85 | 0.56 | 1.71 | 1.43 | 1.5 |
| Perfumes | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.86 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Powders | 2.01 | 0.18 | 0.39 | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | - |
| Sachets | 0.2 | 0.0061 | 0.034 | - | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Fragrance Lotion | - | 0.0061 | - | - | $0.29{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Hair Conditioners | 12.4 | 0.4 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.86 |
| Hair Sprays | - | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Hair Rinses | 12.7 | 0.064 | 0.18 | - | 0.29 | 1.0 | - |
| Shampoos | 16.4 | 0.82 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Tonics and Dressings | 2.9 | 0.073 | 0.021 | - | 0.29 | $0.14{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - |
| Wave Sets | 2.6 | $0.003^{\text {h }}$ | 0.040 | - | $-^{\text {h }}$ | 0.14 | - |
| Dentifrices | - | 1.62 | 0.67 | 2.12 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Mouthwashes | - | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 1.86 | 1.14 | 1.5 |
| Breath Fresheners | - | 0.052 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 1.0 | 0.57 |
| Nail Basecoats | 0.2 | 0.052 | 0.13 | - | 0.29 | 0.29 | - |
| Cuticle Softeners | 0.7 | 0.040 | 0.10 | - | 0.14 | 0.29 | - |
| Nail Creams and Lotions | 0.6 | 0.070 | 0.14 | - | 0.29 | 0.43 | - |
| Nail Extenders | - | 0.003 | 0.013 | - | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Nail Polish and Enamel | 0.3 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.71 | 0.43 | 1.0 |
| Nail Polish and Enamel | 3.1 | 0.088 | 0.19 | - | 0.29 | 0.43 | - |
| Remover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nail Undercoats | - | 0.049 | 0.12 | - | 0.14 | 0.29 | - |
| Bath Soaps | 2.6 | 1.53 | 0.95 | - | 3.0 | 1.43 | - |
| Underarm Deodorants | 0.5 | 1.01 | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 2.0 |
| Douches | - | 0.013 | 0.089 | 0.085 | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Feminine Hygiene | - | 0.021 | 0.084 | 0.05 | $1.0^{\text {e }}$ | 0.29 | 0.14 |
| Deodorants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleansing Products (cold creams, cleansing lotions, liquids, and pads) | 1.7 | 0.63 | 0.80 | 0.54 | 1.71 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Depilatories | - | 0.0061 | 0.051 | 0.009 | 0.016 | 0.14 | 0.033 |

Chapter 17-Consumer Products
Table 17-3. Amount and Frequency of Use of Various Cosmetic and Baby Products (continued)

| Table 17-3. Amount and Frequency of Use of Various Cosmetic and Baby Products (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product Type | Amount of Product per Application ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (grams) | Average Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  | Upper $90^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Frequency of Use (per day) |  |  |
|  |  | Survey Type |  |  | Survey Type |  |  |
|  |  | CTFA | Cosmetic Co. | Market ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Research <br> Bureau | CTFA | Cosmetic Со. | Market Research Bureau |
| Face, Body and Hand Preps (excluding shaving preps) | 3.5 | 0.65 | ${ }^{-}$ | 1.12 | 2.0 | - | 2.14 |
| Foot Powder and Sprays | - | 0.061 | 0.079 | - | $0.57^{\text {e }}$ | 0.29 | - |
| Hormones | - | 0.012 | 0.028 | - | $0.57^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Moisturizers | 0.5 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.63 | 2.0 | 1.71 | 1.5 |
| Night Skin Care Products | 1.3 | 0.18 | 0.50 | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | - |
| Paste Masks (mud packs) | 3.7 | 0.027 | 0.20 | - | 0.14 | 0.43 | - |
| Skin Lighteners | - | - | 0.024 | - | ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.14{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| Skin Fresheners and | 2.0 | 0.33 | 0.56 | - | 1.0 | 1.43 | - |
| Astringents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wrinkle Smoothers (removers) | 0.4 | 0.021 | 0.15 | - | $1.0^{\text {d }}$ | 1.0 | - |
| Facial Cream | 0.6 | 0.0061 | - | - | 0.0061 | - | - |
| Permanent Wave | 101 | 0.003 | - | 0.001 | 0.0082 | - | 0.005 |
| Hair Straighteners | 0.2 | 0.0007 | - | - | $0.005^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Hair Dye | - | 0.001 | - | 0.005 | $0.004^{\text {e }}$ | - | 0.014 |
| Hair Lighteners | - | 0.0003 | - | - | $0.005^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Hair Bleaches | - | 0.0005 | - | - | $0.02{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Hair Tints | - | 0.0001 | - | - | $0.005^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Hair Rinse (coloring) | - | 0.0004 | - | - | $0.02^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Shampoo (coloring) | - | 0.0005 | - | - | $0.02^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Hair Color Spray | - | - | - | - | $\sim^{\text {e }}$ | - | - |
| Shave Cream | 1.73 | - | - | 0.082 | - | - | 0.36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Values reported are the averages of the responses reported by the 20 companies interviewed. <br> The averages shown for the Market Research Bureau are not true averages - this is due to the fact that in many cases the class of most frequent users is indicated by " 1 or more"; also, ranges are used in many cases (i.e., "10-12"). The average, therefore, is underestimated slightly. The " 1 or more" designation also skews the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile figures in many instances. The $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile values may, in actuality, be somewhat higher for many products. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average usage among users only for baby products. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usage data reflects entire household use for both baby lotion and baby oil. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ of individuals surveyed used these products. Value listed is lowest frequency among individuals reporting usage. In the case of wave sets, skin lighteners, and hair color spray, none of the individuals surveyed by the CTFA used this product during the period of the study. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usage data reflects entire household use. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usage data reflects total bath product usage. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None of the individuals surveyed reported using this product. indicate no data available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CTFA (1983). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | Table 17-4. Frequency of Use for Household Solvent Products (users only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Products | Mean |  |  |  |  | Perce | tile Ra | kings for | Freque | cy of Us | Year |  |  |
|  | Products | (use/year) | SD | Min | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max |
|  | Spray Shoe Polish | 10.28 | 20.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 24.30 | 52.00 | 111.26 | 156.00 |
|  | Water Repellents/Protectors | 3.50 | 11.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 | 35.70 | 300.00 |
|  | Spot Removers | 15.59 | 43.34 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 40.00 | 52.00 | 300.00 | 365.00 |
|  | Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or Degreasers | 16.46 | 44.12 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 46.00 | 52.00 | 300.00 | 365.00 |
|  | Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 8.48 | 20.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | NA | 2.00 | 6.00 | 24.00 | 50.00 | 56.00 | 350.00 |
|  | Typewriter Correction Fluid | 40.00 | 74.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 40.00 | 100.00 | 200.00 | 365.00 | 520.00 |
|  | Adhesives | 8.89 | 26.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 | 28.00 | 100.00 | 500.00 |
|  | Adhesive Removers | 4.22 | 12.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 16.80 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
|  | Silicone Lubricants | 10.32 | 25.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 46.35 | 150.00 | 300.00 |
|  | Other Lubricants (excluding automotive) | 10.66 | 25.46 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 50.00 | 100.00 | 420.00 |
|  | Specialized Electronic Cleaners (e.g., for TVs) | 13.41 | 38.16 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 24.00 | 52.00 | 224.50 | 400.00 |
|  | Latex Paint | 3.93 | 20.81 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 800.00 |
|  | Oil Paint | 5.66 | 23.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 139.20 | 300.00 |
|  | Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 4.21 | 12.19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 12.00 | 50.80 | 250.00 |
|  | Paint Removers/Strippers | 3.68 | 9.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 11.80 | 44.56 | 100.00 |
|  | Paint Thinners | 6.78 | 22.10 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 23.00 | 100.00 | 352.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paint | 4.22 | 15.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 6.10 | 12.00 | 31.05 | 365.00 |
|  | Primers and Special Primers | 3.43 | 8.76 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 | 50.06 | 104.00 |
|  | Aerosol Rust Removers | 6.17 | 9.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 | 24.45 | 50.90 | 80.00 |
|  | Outdoor Water Repellents (for wood or cement) Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial | 2.07 | 3.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.90 | 12.00 | 52.00 |
|  | Snow | 2.78 | 21.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 27.20 | 365.00 |
|  | Engine Degreasers | 4.18 | 13.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.25 | 6.70 | 12.00 | 41.70 | 300.00 |
|  | Carburetor Cleaners | 3.77 | 7.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 47.28 | 100.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 4.50 | 9.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 100.00 |
|  | Auto Spray Primers | 6.42 | 33.89 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.75 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 139.00 | 500.00 |
|  | Spray Lubricant for Cars | 10.31 | 30.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 105.60 | 365.00 |
|  | Transmission Cleaners | 2.28 | 3.55 | 1.00 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 9.00 | NA | 26.00 |
| $\frac{x}{0}$ | Battery Terminal Protectors | 3.95 | 24.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 6.55 | 41.30 | 365.00 |
| O | Brake Quieters Cleaners | 3.00 | 6.06 | 1.00 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 10.40 | NA | 52.00 |
| I | Gasket Remover | 2.50 | 4.39 | 1.00 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 6.50 | NA | 30.00 |
| 0 | Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 11.18 | 18.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 30.00 | 50.00 | 77.00 | 200.00 |
| T | Ignition and Wire Dryers | 3.01 | 5.71 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 9.70 | 44.52 | 60.00 |
|  | NA = Not available. <br> SD = Standard deviation. <br> Min/Max $=$ Minimum $/$ Maximum . <br> Source: Westat (1987a). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 17-5. Exposure Time of Use for Household Solvent Products (users only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Products | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (minutes) } \end{gathered}$ | SD | Percentile Rankings for Duration of Use (minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Min | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max |
|  | Spray Shoe Polish | 7.49 | 9.60 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 18.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 |
|  | Water Repellents/Protectors | 14.46 | 24.10 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 1.40 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 480.00 |
|  | Spot Removers | 10.68 | 22.36 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 360.00 |
| NO | Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or Degreasers | 29.48 | 97.49 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 | 1,800.00 |
| $\bigcirc 2$ | Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 74.04 | 128.43 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 147.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 2,700.00 |
| $\cdots 0$ | Typewriter Correction Fluid | 7.62 | 29.66 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 32.00 | 120.00 | 480.00 |
| ¢ | Adhesives | 15.58 | 81.80 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 4.25 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 2,880.00 |
| T | Adhesive Removers | 121.20 | 171.63 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1.45 | 3.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 246.00 | 480.00 | 960.00 | 960.00 |
| 3 | Silicone Lubricants | 10.42 | 29.47 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 |
| 2 | Other Lubricants (excluding automotive) | 8.12 | 32.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 900.00 |
| - | Specialized Electronic Cleaners (e.g., for TVs) | 9.47 | 45.35 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 93.60 | 900.00 |
| O | Latex Paint | 295.08 | 476.11 | 0.02 | 1.00 | 22.50 | 30.00 | 90.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 810.00 | 2,880.00 | 5,760.00 |
| 2 | Oil Paint | 194.12 | 345.68 | 0.02 | 0.51 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 12.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 579.00 | 1,702.80 | 5,760.00 |
|  | Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 117.17 | 193.05 | 0.02 | 0.74 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 140.00 | 360.00 | 720.00 | 280.00 |
|  | Paint Removers/Strippers | 125.27 | 286.59 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 420.00 | 1,200.00 | 4,320.00 |
|  | Paint Thinners | 39.43 | 114.85 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 2,400.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paint | 39.54 | 87.79 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 45.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 | 1,800.00 |
|  | Primers and Special Primers | 91.29 | 175.05 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 360.00 | 981.60 | 1,920.00 |
|  | Aerosol Rust Removers | 18.57 | 48.54 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 130.20 | 720.00 |
|  | Outdoor Water Repellents (for wood or cement) | 104.94 | 115.36 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 300.00 | 480.00 | 960.00 |
|  | Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial Snow | 29.45 | 48.16 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 96.00 | 268.80 | 360.00 |
|  | Engine Degreasers | 29.29 | 48.14 | 0.02 | 0.95 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 900.00 |
|  | Carburetor Cleaners | 13.57 | 23.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 7.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 45.00 | 120.00 | 300.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 42.77 | 71.39 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 145.00 | 360.00 | 900.00 |
|  | Auto Spray Primers | 51.45 | 86.11 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 27.50 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 529.20 | 600.00 |
|  | Spray Lubricant for Cars | 9.90 | 35.62 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 720.00 |
|  | Transmission Cleaners | 27.90 | 61.44 | 0.17 | NA | 0.35 | 1.80 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | NA | 450.00 |
|  | Battery Terminal Protectors | 9.61 | 18.15 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
|  | Brake Quieters/Cleaners | 23.38 | 36.32 | 0.07 | NA | 0.50 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 49.50 | 120.00 | NA | 240.00 |
|  | Gasket Remover | 23.57 | 27.18 | 0.33 | NA | 0.50 | 2.00 | 6.25 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | NA | 180.00 |
|  | Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 22.66 | 23.94 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 |
|  | Ignition and Wire Dryers | 7.24 | 8.48 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 1.50 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 | 25.50 | 48.60 | 60.00 |
|  | NA = Not available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SD = Standard deviation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Min/Max = Minimum/Maximum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Source: Westat (1987a). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\infty}{1} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Table 17-6. Amount of Products Used for Household Solvent Products (users only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Products | Mean (ounces/year) | SD | Percentile Rankings for Amount of Products Used (ounces/year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Min. | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max |
|  | Spray Shoe Polish | 9.90 | 17.90 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.50 | 10.00 | 24.00 | 36.00 | 99.36 | 180.00 |
|  | Water Repellents/Protectors | 11.38 | 22.00 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.98 | 1.43 | 2.75 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 24.00 | 33.00 | 121.84 | 450.00 |
|  | Spot Removers | 26.32 | 90.10 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.50 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 119.20 | 384.00 | 1,600.00 |
|  | Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or Degreasers | 58.30 | 226.97 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 6.50 | 16.00 | 32.00 | 96.00 | 192.00 | 845.00 | 5,120.00 |
|  | Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 28.41 | 57.23 | 0.03 | 0.80 | 2.45 | 3.50 | 7.00 | 14.00 | 30.00 | 64.00 | 96.00 | 204.40 | 1,144.00 |
|  | Typewriter Correction Fluid | 4.14 | 13.72 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.94 | 2.40 | 8.00 | 18.00 | 67.44 | 181.80 |
|  | Adhesives | 7.49 | 55.90 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 8.00 | 20.00 | 128.00 | 1,280.00 |
|  | Adhesive Removers | 34.46 | 96.60 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 1.22 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 10.88 | 32.00 | 64.00 | 138.70 | 665.60 | 1,024.00 |
|  | Silicone Lubricants | 12.50 | 27.85 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 1.00 | 2.25 | 4.50 | 12.00 | 24.00 | 41.20 | 192.00 | 312.00 |
|  | Other Lubricants (excluding automotive) | 9.93 | 44.18 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 1.00 | 2.25 | 8.00 | 18.00 | 32.00 | 128.00 | 1,280.00 |
|  | Specialized Electronic Cleaners (e.g., for TVs) | 9.48 | 55.26 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 12.65 | 24.00 | 109.84 | 1,024.00 |
|  | Latex Paint | 371.27 | 543.86 | 0.03 | 4.00 | 12.92 | 32.00 | 64.00 | 256.00 | 384.00 | 857.60 | 1,280.00 | 2,560.00 | 6,400.00 |
|  | Oil Paint | 168.92 | 367.82 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 25.20 | 64.00 | 148.48 | 384.00 | 640.00 | 1,532.16 | 5,120.00 |
|  | Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 65.06 | 174.01 | 0.12 | 1.09 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 16.00 | 64.00 | 128.00 | 256.00 | 768.00 | 3,840.00 |
|  | Paint Removers/Strippers | 63.73 | 144.33 | 0.64 | 1.50 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 16.00 | 32.00 | 64.00 | 128.00 | 256.00 | 512.00 | 2,560.00 |
|  | Paint Thinners | 69.45 | 190.55 | 0.03 | 0.45 | 3.10 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 20.48 | 64.00 | 128.00 | 256.00 | 640.00 | 3,200.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paint | 30.75 | 52.84 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 2.01 | 3.25 | 7.00 | 13.00 | 32.00 | 65.00 | 104.00 | 240.00 | 1,053.00 |
|  | Primers and Special Primers | 68.39 | 171.21 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 1.30 | 3.23 | 8.00 | 16.00 | 60.00 | 128.00 | 256.00 | 867.75 | 1,920.00 |
|  | Aerosol Rust Removers | 18.21 | 81.37 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 1.00 | 1.43 | 2.75 | 8.00 | 13.00 | 32.00 | 42.60 | 199.80 | 1,280.00 |
|  | Outdoor Water Repellents (for wood or cement) | 148.71 | 280.65 | 0.01 | 0.37 | 3.63 | 8.00 | 16.00 | 64.00 | 128.00 | 448.00 | 640.00 | 979.20 | 3,200.00 |
|  | Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial Snow | 13.82 | 14.91 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 2.38 | 3.25 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 14.00 | 28.00 | 33.00 | 98.40 | 120.00 |
|  | Engine Degreasers | 46.95 | 135.17 | 0.04 | 1.56 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 36.00 | 80.00 | 160.00 | 480.00 | 2,560.00 |
|  | Carburetor Cleaners | 22.00 | 50.60 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 5.22 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 39.00 | 75.00 | 212.00 | 672.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 44.95 | 89.78 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 6.12 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 100.80 | 156.00 | 557.76 | 900.00 |
| (T) | Auto Spray Primers | 70.37 | 274.56 | 0.12 | 0.77 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 16.00 | 48.00 | 128.00 | 222.00 | 1,167.36 | 3840.00 |
| $\underset{\gamma}{x}$ | Spray Lubricant for Cars | 18.63 | 54.74 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 2.75 | 6.00 | 15.50 | 36.00 | 64.00 | 240.00 | 864.00 |
| $0$ | Transmission Cleaners | 35.71 | 62.93 | 2.00 | NA | 3.75 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 15.00 | 32.00 | 77.00 | 140.00 | NA | 360.00 |
| $\underset{Z}{E}$ | Battery Terminal Protectors | 16.49 | 87.84 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 15.00 | 24.60 | 627.00 | 1,050.00 |
| $0$ | Brake Quieters/Cleaners | 11.72 | 13.25 | 0.50 | NA | 1.00 | 2.00 | 3.02 | 8.00 | 14.25 | 32.00 | 38.60 | NA | 78.00 |
| I | Gasket Remover | 13.25 | 22.35 | 0.50 | NA | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.75 | 7.75 | 16.00 | 24.00 | 58.40 | NA | 160.00 |
| $\cos _{0}^{2}$ | Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 31.58 | 80.39 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 1.82 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 28.00 | 64.00 | 96.00 | 443.52 | 960.00 |
|  | Ignition and Wire Dryers | 9.02 | 14.59 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 1.09 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 10.75 | 16.00 | 20.55 | 113.04 | 120.00 |
|  | NA $=$ Not available. <br> SD $=$ Standard deviation. <br> Min/Max $=$ Minimum/Maximum. <br> Source: Westat (1987a). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| crin | Table 17-7. Time Exposed After Duration of Use for Household Solvent Products (users only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Products | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (minutes) } \end{aligned}$ | SD | Percentile Rankings for Time Exposed After Duration of Use (minutes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Min. | 1 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 99 | Max |
|  | Spray Shoe Polish | 31.40 | 80.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 120.00 | 120.00 | 480.00 | 720.00 |
|  | Water Repellents/Protectors | 37.95 | 111.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 20.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1,800.00 |
|  | Spot Removers | 43.65 | 106.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Solvent-Type Cleaning Fluids or Degreasers | 33.29 | 90.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 28.75 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Wood Floor and Paneling Cleaners | 96.75 | 192.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1,062.00 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Typewriter Correction Fluid | 124.70 | 153.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 600.00 | 1,800.00 |
|  | Adhesives | 68.88 | 163.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 720.00 | 2,100.00 |
|  | Adhesive Removers | 94.12 | 157.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 20.00 | 120.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 720.00 | 720.00 |
|  | Silicone Lubricants | 30.77 | 107.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Other Lubricants (excluding automotive) | 47.45 | 127.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 485.40 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Specialized Electronic Cleaners (e.g., for TVs) | 117.24 | 154.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 300.00 | 480.00 | 720.00 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Latex Paint | 91.38 | 254.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 60.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 1,440.00 | 2,880.00 |
|  | Oil Paint | 44.56 | 155.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 480.00 | 2,880.00 |
|  | Wood Stains, Varnishes, and Finishes | 48.33 | 156.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | 240.00 | 694.00 | 2,880.00 |
|  | Paint Removers/Strippers | 31.38 | 103.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 541.20 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Paint Thinners | 32.86 | 105.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 480.00 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paint | 12.70 | 62.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 30.00 | 60.00 | 260.50 | 1,440.00 |
|  | Primers and Special Primers | 22.28 | 65.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 60.00 | 120.00 | 319.20 | 720.00 |
|  | Aerosol Rust Removers | 15.06 | 47.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 60.00 | 60.00 | 190.20 | 600.00 |
|  | Outdoor Water Repellents (for wood or cement) | $8.33$ | 43.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 58.50 | 309.60 | 420.00 |
|  | Glass Frostings, Window Tints, and Artificial Snow | $137.87$ | 243.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 60.00 | 180.00 | 360.00 | 480.00 | 1,440.00 | 1,800.00 |
|  | Engine Degreasers | 4.52 | 24.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.50 | 120.00 | 360.00 |
|  | Carburetor Cleaners | 7.51 | 68.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 30.00 | 120.60 | 1,800.00 |
|  | Aerosol Spray Paints for Cars | 10.71 | 45.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.50 | 60.00 | 282.00 | 480.00 |
|  | Auto Spray Primers | 11.37 | 45.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 77.25 | 360.00 | 360.00 |
|  | Spray Lubricant for Cars | 4.54 | 30.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 15.00 | 70.20 | 420.00 |
|  | Transmission Cleaners | 5.29 | 29.50 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 22.50 | NA | 240.00 |
|  | Battery Terminal Protectors | 3.25 | 17.27 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.90 | 15.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 |
|  | Brake Quieters/Cleaners | 10.27 | 30.02 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 120.00 | NA | 120.00 |
|  | Gasket Remover | 27.56 | 58.54 | 0.00 | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 120.00 | 180.00 | NA | 240.00 |
|  | Tire/Hubcap Cleaners | 1.51 | 20.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.00 | 480.00 |
|  | Ignition and Wire Dryers | 6.39 | 31.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 30.00 | 216.60 | 240.00 |
|  | NA $=$ Not available. <br> SD $=$ Standard deviation. <br> Min/Max $=$ Minimum/Maximum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{6}$ | Source: Westat (1987a). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-8. Total Exposure Time of Performing Task and Product Type Used by Task for Household Cleaning Products |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tasks | Mean (hours/year) | Median (hours/year) | Product Type Used | Percent of Preference |
| Clean Bathroom Sinks and Tubs | 44 | 26 | Liquid | 29\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 44\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 16\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 10\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 1\% |
| Clean Kitchen Sinks | 41 | 18 | Liquid | 31\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 61\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 4\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 2\% |
| Clean Inside of Cabinets | 12 | 5 | Liquid | 68\% |
| (e.g., kitchen) |  |  | Powder | 12\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 16\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 2\% |
| Clean Outside of Cabinets | 21 | 6 | Liquid | 61\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 8\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 16\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 13\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 2\% |
| Wipe Off Kitchen Counters | 92 | 55 | Liquid | 67\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 13\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 15\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 3\% |
| Thoroughly Clean Counters | 24 | 13 | Liquid | 56\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 21\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 5\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 17\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 1\% |
| Clean Bathroom Floors | 20 | 9 | Liquid | 70\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 21\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 4\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 3\% |
| Clean Kitchen Floors | 31 | 14 | Liquid | 70\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 27\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 1\% |
|  |  |  | Other | - |
| Clean Bathroom or Other tilted or Ceramic Walls | 16 | 9 | Liquid | 37\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 18\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 17\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 25\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 3\% |
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| Tasks | Mean (hours/year) | Median (hours/year) | Product Type Used | Percent of Preference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clean Outside of Windows | 13 | 6 | Liquid | 27\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 6\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 65\% |
|  |  |  | Other | - |
| Clean Inside of Windows | 18 | 6 | Liquid | 24\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 1\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 8\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 66\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 2\% |
| Clean Glass Surfaces Such as Mirrors and Tables | 34 | 13 | Liquid | 13\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 1\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 8\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 76\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 2\% |
| Clean Outside of Refrigerator and Other Appliances | 27 | 13 | Liquid | 48\% |
|  |  |  | Powder | 3\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 7\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 38\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 4\% |
| Clean Spots or Dirt on Walls or Doors | 19 | 8 | Liquid | 46\% |
| Finishes |  |  | Powder | 15\% |
|  |  |  | Aerosol | 4\% |
|  |  |  | Spray pump | 30\% |
|  |  |  | Other | 4\% |
| Indicates value is less than $1 \%$. |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Westat (1987c). |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Percentile Rankings for Total Exposure Time Performing Task(hours/year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tasks | Min | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Clean Bathroom Sinks and Tubs | 0.4 | 5.2 | 13 | 26 | 52 | 91.3 | 121.7 | 365 |
| Clean Kitchen Sinks | 0.3 | 3.5 | 8.7 | 18.3 | 60.8 | 97.6 | 121.7 | 547.5 |
| Clean Inside of Kitchen Cabinets | 0.2 | 1 | 2 | 4.8 | 12 | 32.5 | 48 | 208 |
| Clean Outside of Cabinets | 0.1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 17.3 | 36 | 78.7 | 780 |
| Wipe Off Kitchen Counters | 1.2 | 12 | 24.3 | 54.8 | 91.5 | 231.2 | 456.3 | 912.5 |
| Thoroughly Clean Counters | 0.2 | 1.8 | 6 | 13 | 26 | 52 | 94.4 | 547.5 |
| Clean Bathroom Floors | 0.1 | 2 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 26 | 36.8 | 71.5 | 365 |
| Clean Kitchen Floors | 0.5 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 14 | 26 | 52 | 97 | 730 |
| Clean Bathroom or Other Tilted or Ceramic | 0.2 | 1 | 3 | 8.7 | 26 | 36 | 52 | 208 |
| Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clean Outside of Windows | 0.1 | 1.5 | 2 | 6 | 11.5 | 24 | 32.6 | 468 |
| Clean Inside of Windows | 0.2 | 1.2 | 3 | 6 | 19.5 | 36 | 72 | 273 |
| Clean Glass Surfaces Such as Mirrors and Tables | 0.2 | 1.7 | 6 | 13 | 26 | 60.8 | 104 | 1460 |
| Clean Outside Refrigerator and Other Appliances | 0.1 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 13 | 30.4 | 91.3 | 95.3 | 365 |
| Clean Spots or Dirt on Walls or Doors | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2 | 8 | 24 | 52 | 78 | 312 |
| $\begin{array}{\|ll} \operatorname{Min} & =\text { Minimum. } \\ \text { Max } & =\text { Maximum } . \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Westat (1987c). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Table 17-10. Mean Percentile Rankings for Frequency of Performing Household Tasks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tasks | Mean | Percentile Rankings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Min | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
|  | Clean Bathroom Sinks and Tubs | $3 \times /$ week | $0.2 \times /$ week | $1 \times /$ week | $1 \times /$ week | $2 \times$ week | $3.5 \times$ week | $7 \times /$ week | $7 \times /$ week | $42 \times /$ week |
|  | Clean Kitchen Sinks | $7 \times /$ week | $0 \times /$ week | $1 \times$ week | $2 \times$ week | $7 \times /$ week | $7 \times /$ week | $15 \times /$ week | $21 \times /$ week | $28 \times /$ week |
|  | Clean Inside of Cabinets Such as Those in the Kitchen | $9 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $2 \times$ year | $12 \times /$ year | $12 \times /$ year | $52 \times$ year | $156 \times$ year |
|  | Clean Outside of Cabinets | $3 \times /$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $0.3 \times$ month | $1 \times$ month | $4 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $22 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month |
|  | Wipe Off Counters Such as Those in the Kitchen | $2 \times$ day | $0 \times$ day | $0.4 \times$ day | $1 \times$ day | $1 \times$ day | $3 \times /$ day | $4 \times /$ day | $6 \times /$ day | $16 \times$ day |
|  | Thoroughly Clean Counters | $8 \times /$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $0.8 \times$ month | $1 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $4 \times /$ month | $30 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month | $183 \times$ month |
|  | Clean Bathroom Floors | $6 \times /$ month | $0.2 \times$ month | $1 \times$ month | $2 \times /$ month | $4 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $13 \times /$ month | $30 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month |
|  | Clean Kitchen Floors | $6 \times$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $1 \times$ month | $2 \times$ month | $4 \times$ month | $4 \times$ month | $13 \times /$ month | $30 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month |
|  | Clean Bathroom or Other Tiled or Ceramic Walls | $4 \times$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $0.2 \times$ month | $1 \times$ month | $2 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $9 \times$ month | $13 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month |
|  | Clean Outside of Windows | $5 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $2 \times$ year | $4 \times$ year | $12 \times /$ year | $12 \times$ year | $156 \times$ year |
|  | Clean Inside of Windows | $10 \times /$ year | $1 \times$ year | $1 \times$ year | $2 \times$ year | $4 \times$ year | $12 \times$ year | $24 \times$ year | $52 \times$ year | $156 \times$ year |
|  | Clean Other Glass Surfaces such as Mirrors and Tables | $7 \times$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $1 \times /$ month | $2 \times /$ month | $4 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $17 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month | $61 \times$ month |
|  | Clean Outside of Refrigerator and Other Appliances | $10 \times / \text { month }$ | $0.2 \times / \text { month }$ | $1 \times /$ month | $2 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $13 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month | $30 \times$ month | $61 \times$ month |
|  | Clean Spots or Dirt on Walls or Doors | $6 \times /$ month | $0.1 \times$ month | $0.2 \times$ month | $0.3 \times$ month | $1 \times /$ month | $4 \times$ month | $13 \times /$ month | $30 \times$ month | $152 \times$ month |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Min } & =\text { Minimum. } \\ \text { Max } & =\text { Maximum. } . \end{array}$ <br> Source: Westat (1987c). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Tasks | Mean(minutes/event) | Percentile Rankings (minutes/event) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Min | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Clean Bathroom Sinks and Tubs | 20 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 90 |
| Clean Kitchen Sinks | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 480 |
| Clean Inside of Cabinets Such as Those in the Kitchen | 137 | 5 | 24 | 44 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 360 | 2,880 |
| Clean Outside of Cabinets | 52 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 180 | 330 |
| Wipe Off Counters Such as Those in the Kitchen | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 120 |
| Thoroughly Clean Counters | 25 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 180 |
| Clean Bathroom Floors | 16 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 38 | 60 |
| Clean Kitchen Floors | 30 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 180 |
| Clean Bathroom or Other Tiled or Ceramic Walls | 34 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 120 | 240 |
| Clean Outside of Windows | 180 | 4 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 240 | 420 | 480 | 1,200 |
| Clean Inside of Windows | 127 | 4 | 20 | 45 | 90 | 158 | 300 | 381 | 1,200 |
| Clean Other Glass Surfaces Such as Mirrors and Tables | 24 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 180 |
| Clean Outside of Refrigerator and Other Appliances | 19 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 240 |
| Clean Spots or Dirt on Walls or Doors | 50 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 120 | 216 | 960 |
| Min $=$ Minimum. <br> Max $=$ Maximum. <br> Source: Westat (1987c). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Products | Mean (hours/year) | Percentile Rankings of Total Exposure Time (hours/year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Min | $10^{\text {th }}$ | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $50^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | $90^{\text {th }}$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Dish Detergents | 107 | 0.2 | 6 | 24 | 56 | 134 | 274 | 486 | 941 |
| Glass Cleaners | 67 | 0.4 | 3 | 12 | 29 | 62 | 139 | 260 | 1,508 |
| Floor Cleaners | 52 | 0.7 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 52 | 102 | 414 | 449 |
| Furniture Polish | 32 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 12 | 36 | 101 | 215 | 243 |
| Bathroom Tile Cleaners | 47 | 0.5 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 48 | 115 | 287 | 369 |
| Liquid Cleansers | 68 | 0.2 | 2 | 9 | 22 | 52 | 122 | 215 | 2,381 |
| Scouring Powders | 78 | 0.3 | 9 | 17 | 35 | 92 | 165 | 281 | 747 |
| Laundry Detergents | 66 | 0.6 | 8 | 14 | 48 | 103 | 174 | 202 | 202 |
| Rug Cleaners/Shampoos | 12 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 9 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| All Purpose Cleaners | 64 | 0.3 | 4 | 9 | 26 | 77 | 174 | 262 | 677 |


| a | The data in Table 17-12 reflect only the 14 tasks included in the survey. Therefore, many of the durations reported in <br> the table underestimate the hours of the use of the product group. For example, use of dish detergents to wash dishes is <br> not included. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Min | $=$ Minimum. |
| Max | $=$ Maximum. |
| Source: | Westat (1987c). |
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Table 17-13. Total Exposure Time of Painting Activity of Interior Painters (hours)

| Types of Paint | Mean (hours) | SD | Percentile Rankings for Duration of Painting Activity (hours) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Min | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | Max |
| Latex | 12.2 | 11.3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 24 | 40 | 248 |
| Oil-Based | 10.7 | 15.6 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 21.6 | 65.6 | 72 |
| Wood Stains and Varnishes | 8.6 | 10.9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9.3 | 24 | 40 | 42 |

SD = Standard deviation.
Min = Minimum.
Max = Maximum.
Source: Westat (1987b).


| Table 17-15. Amount of Paint Used by Interior Painters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Types of Paint | Median (gallons) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (gallons) } \end{aligned}$ | SD | Percentile Rankings for Amount of Paint Used (gallons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Min | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | Max |
| Latex | 3.0 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 50 |
| Oil-Based | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| Wood Stains and Varnishes | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4.3 |
| SD $=$ Standard deviation. <br> Min $=$ Minimum. <br> Max $=$ Maximum. | = Standard deviation. <br> = Minimum. <br> $=$ Maximum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Westat (1987b). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 17-17. Adhesive Remover Usage by Sex

Mean number of months since last time adhesive remover was used - includes all respondents (unweighted $N=240$ ).

|  | Sex |
| :---: | :---: |
| Males | Females |
| $N=25$ | $N=33$ |
| 35.33 | 43.89 |

Mean number of uses of product in the past year.
Mean number of minutes spent with the product during last use
Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes all recent users.)
Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes only those who did not leave immediately.)
Mean ounces of product used in the past year.
Mean ounces of product used per use in the past year.
70.48
$1.94 \quad 1.30$
$127.95 \quad 233.43$
19.760
143.37

0

Source: Abt (1992).
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| Table 17-19. Spray Paint Usage by Sex |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Males } \\ N=405 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Females } \\ & N=386 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean number of months since last time spray paint was used - includes all respondents (unweighted $N=1724$ ). | 17.39 | 26.46 |
| Mean number of uses of product in the past year. | 10.45 | 4.63 |
| Mean number of minutes spent with the product during last use. | 40.87 | 40.88 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes all recent users.) | 5.49 | 0.40 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes only those who did not leave immediately.) | 67.76 | 34.69 |
| Mean ounces of product used in the past year. | 103.07 | 59.99 |
| Mean ounces of product used per use in the past year. | 18.50 | 19.92 |
| Source: Abt (1992). |  |  |
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| Table 17-21. Paint Stripper Usage by Sex |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Males } \\ N=156 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Females } \\ & N=162 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean number of months since last time paint stripper was used - includes all respondents (unweighted $N=1724$ ). | 32.07 | 47.63 |
| Mean number of uses of product in the past year. | 3.88 | 3.01 |
| Mean number of minutes spent with the product during last use. | 136.70 | 156.85 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes all recent users.) | 15.07 | 9.80 |
| Mean number of minutes spent in the room after last use of product. (Includes only those who did not leave immediately.) | 101.42 | 80.15 |
| Mean ounces of product used in the past year. | 160.27 | 114.05 |
| Mean ounces of product used per use in the past year. | 74.32 | 50.29 |
| Source: Abt (1992). |  |  |

Table 17-22. Number of Minutes Spent Using Any Microwave Oven (minutes/day)

| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 5 to 11 years | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| 12 to 17 years | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| 18 to 64 years | 1,686 | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 45 | 60 | 121 |
| > 64 years | 375 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | 60 | 70 |

Note: $\quad$ A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

| Table 17-23. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Freshly Applied Paints (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 5 to 11 years | 12 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 45 | 120 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 12 to 17 years | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 | 8 | 45 | 75 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 18 to 64 years | 212 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| > 64 years | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

Table 17-24. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Household Cleaning Agents Such as Scouring Powders or Ammonia (minutes/day)

| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 5 to 11 years | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 12 to 17 years | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 18 to 64 years | 672 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| $>64$ years | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 121 | 121 |

Note: $\quad$ A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

Table 17-25. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities (at home or elsewhere) Working With or Near Floorwax, Furniture Wax, or Shoe Polish (minutes/day)

| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 5 to 11 years | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 35 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 12 to 17 years | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 45 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 18 to 64 years | 238 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| $>64$ years | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

| Table 17-26. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Glue (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ge Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 5 to 11 years | 36 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 12.5 | 25 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 12 to 17 years | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 5 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 18 to 64 years | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| $>64$ years | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

Table 17-27. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Solvents, Fumes, or Strong Smelling Chemicals (minutes/day)

| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 5 to 11 years | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 17.5 | 45 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 12 to 17 years | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 18 to 64 years | 407 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| $>64$ years | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: $\quad$ A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N$ = doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).
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Table 17-28. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Stain or Spot Removers (minutes/day)

| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 5 to 11 years | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 12 to 17 years | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 18 to 64 years | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| > 64 years | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: $\quad$ A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N$ = doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

| Table 17-29. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Gasoline or Diesel-Powered Equipment, Besides Automobiles (minutes/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 22.5 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 5 to 11 years | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7.5 | 25 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 12 to 17 years | 25 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 35 | 120 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 18 to 64 years | 312 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| > 64 years | 26 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 90 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: $\quad$ A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.

Source: U.S. EPA (1996).

Table 17-30. Number of Minutes Spent in Activities Working With or Near Pesticides, Including Bug Sprays or Bug Strips (minutes/day)

| Age Group | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 99 | Max |
| 1 to 4 years | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 5 to 11 years | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 30 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 12 to 17 years | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.5 | 40 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 18 to 64 years | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 88 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| > 64 years | 764 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 5 | 15 | 60 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |

Note: $\quad$ A value of "121" for number of minutes signifies that more than 120 minutes were spent; $N=$ doer sample size; percentiles are the percentage of doers below or equal to a given number of minutes.
Source: U.S. EPA (1996).
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Table 17-32. Number of Respondents Using Any Aerosol Spray Product or Personal Care Item Such as Deodorant or Hair Spray at Specified Daily Frequencies

| Age Group | Total $N$ | Number of Times Used in a Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | $10+$ | Don't Know |  |
| 1 to 4 years | 40 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 to 11 years | 75 | 57 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12 to 17 years | 103 | 53 | 31 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 18 to 64 years | 1,071 | 724 | 263 | 39 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 |  |
| $>64$ years | 175 | 141 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |
| $N$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$N \quad=$ Number of respondents.
Source: U.S. EPA (1996).
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| Table 17-36. Household Demographics and Pesticide Types, Characteristics, and Frequency of Pesticide Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Population Demographics |  |  |
|  | Number ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Sex |  |  |
| Female | 90 | 84.1 |
| Male | 17 | 15.9 |
| Language of Interview |  |  |
| Spanish | 72 | 67.3 |
| English | 35 | 32.7 |
| Reading Skills |  |  |
| Able to read English | 71 | 66.4 |
| Able to read Spanish | 95 | 88.8 |
| Number in Household |  |  |
| 2 to 3 people | 25 | 23.3 |
| 4 to 5 people | 59 | 55.1 |
| 6 to 8 people | 23 | 21.4 |
| Children under 10 years |  |  |
| 1 child | 37 | 34.6 |
| 2 children | 45 | 42.1 |
| 3 to 5 children | 25 | 23.3 |
| Type of Home |  |  |
| Single family detached | 75 | 70.1 |
| Multi-family | 9 | 8.4 |
| Trailer/mobile home | 9 | 8.4 |
| Single-family attached | 8 | 7.5 |
| Apartment/other | 4 | 3.7 |
| Pets |  |  |
| Pets kept in household | 55 | 51.4 |
| Pesticides used on pets | 22 | 40.0 |
| Pesticide Use |  |  |
| Type of Pesticide |  |  |
| Insecticide | 135 | 91.2 |
| Rodenticide | 10 | 6.8 |
| Herbicide | 3 | 2.0 |
| Storage of Pesticide |  |  |
| Kitchen | 67 | 45.3 |
| Garage/shed | 30 | 20.3 |
| Laundry/washroom | 14 | 9.4 |
| Other, inside home | 11 | 7.4 |
| Other, outside home | 7 | 4.7 |
| Bathroom | 7 | 4.7 |
| Basement | 4 | 2.7 |
| Closet | 4 | 2.7 |
| Storage Precautions |  |  |
| Child-resistant container | 83 | 56.1 |
| Pesticide locked away | 55 | 37.2 |
| Storage Risks |  |  |
| < 4 feet from ground | 72 | 48.6 |
| Kept near food | 5 | 3.4 |
| Kept near dishes/cookware | 5 | 3.4 |
| Disposal |  |  |
| Throw it away | 132 | 89.2 |
| Wrap in separate container, throw away | 10 | 6.8 |
| Other | 5 | 3.4 |
| Frequency of Use |  |  |
| More than once/week | $20$ | 13.5 |
| Once/week | 27 | 18.2 |
| Once/month | 42 | 28.4 |
| Once every 3 months | 23 | 15.5 |
| Once every 6 months | 16 | 10.8 |
| Once/year | 13 | 8.8 |
| Time Stored in Home |  |  |
| $<6$ months |  | 50.7 |
| 6 to 12 months | 24 | 15.2 |
| 12 to 24 months | 17 | 11.5 |
| $>24$ months | 16 | 10.8 |
| a $\begin{aligned} & \text { Totals may not add up to } 107 \text { participants or } 148 \text { products, and percentages may not add up to } 100 \text { because of some non-responses } \\ & \text { to survey questions. }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Source: Bass et al. (2001). |  |  |
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| Table 17-37. Amount and Frequency of Use of Household Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product Type | Overall |  |  |  |  |  | Per Subject |  |
|  | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Subjects | Events | Min | Max |
| Dishwashing Liquid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use per day | 0.63 | 0.79 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 596 | 0.05 | 2.29 |
| Duration of contact (minutes) | 11 | 5 | 1 | 60 | 45 | 596 | 2 | 35 |
| Amount used per contact (grams) | 5 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 13 | 163 | 2 | 10 |
| All-Purpose Cleaner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use per day | 0.35 | 0.70 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 218 | 0.050 | 1.82 |
| Duration of contact (minutes) | 20 | 22 | 1 | 135 | 28 | 204 | 5 | 60 |
| Amount used per contact (grams) | 27 | 30 | 1 | 123 | 12 | 105 | 2 | 74 |
| Toilet Cleaner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use per day | 0.28 | 0.55 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 105 | 0.05 | 1.67 |
| Duration of contact (minutes) | 74 | 204 | 1 | 1,209 | 28 | 101 | $2^{\text {a }}$ | $24^{\text {a }}$ |
| Amount used per contact (grams) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 153 |
| Hair Spray |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use per day | 0.76 | 0.68 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 143 | 0.29 | 1.76 |
| Amount used per contact (grams) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 11.6 |
| Duration of release (seconds) | 11 | 6 | 5 | 25 | 12 | - | - | - |
| Duration of contact with nebula (seconds) | 23 | 11 | 5 | 41 | 12 | - | - | - |
| Duration of contact with nebula $\times$ gram released (seconds $\times$ grams) | 48 | 48 | 5 | 150 | 10 | - | - | - |
| a Excludes durations ove <br> - Indicates insufficient s | minute size | stimat | erage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Weegels and van Veen ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-38. Frequency of Use of Cosmetic Products |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Product Type | $N$ | Number of Applications per Day |  |  |
|  |  | Mean | Median | SD |
| Lipstick | 311 | 2.35 | 2 | 1.80 |
| Body lotion, hands | 308 | 2.12 | 2 | 1.59 |
| Body lotion, arms | 308 | 1.52 | 1 | 1.30 |
| Body lotion, feet | 308 | 0.95 | 1 | 1.01 |
| Body lotion, legs | 308 | 1.11 | 1 | 0.98 |
| Body lotion, neck and throat | 308 | 0.43 | 0 | 0.82 |
| Body lotion, back | 308 | 0.26 | 0 | 0.63 |
| Body lotion, other | 308 | 0.40 | 0 | 0.76 |
| Face cream | 300 | 1.77 | 2 | 1.16 |
| $N$ $=$ Number of subjects <br> SD $=$ Standard deviation | $9 \text { to } 65$ |  |  |  |
| Source: Loretz et al. (2005). |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-39. Amount of Test Product Used (grams) for Lipstick, Body Lotion, and Face Cream |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary Statistics | Total Amount Applied | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average }^{\mathrm{a}} \text { Amount Applied per } \\ & \text { Use Day } \end{aligned}$ | Average ${ }^{b}$ Amount Applied per Application |
| Lipstick |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Maximum | 2.666 | 0.214 | 0.214 |
| Mean | 0.272 | 0.024 | 0.010 |
| SD | 0.408 | 0.034 | 0.018 |
| Percentiles |  |  |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0.026 | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| $20^{\text {th }}$ | 0.063 | 0.005 | 0.003 |
| $30^{\text {th }}$ | 0.082 | 0.008 | 0.004 |
| $40^{\text {th }}$ | 0.110 | 0.010 | 0.004 |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ | 0.147 | 0.013 | 0.005 |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ | 0.186 | 0.016 | 0.006 |
| $70^{\text {th }}$ | 0.242 | 0.021 | 0.009 |
| $80^{\text {th }}$ | 0.326 | 0.029 | 0.011 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ | 0.655 | 0.055 | 0.024 |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ | 0.986 | 0.087 | 0.037 |
| $99^{\text {th }}$ | 2.427 | 0.191 | 0.089 |
| Best Fit Distributions and Parameters ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Lognormal Distribution $\mathrm{GM}=0.14$ $\mathrm{GSD}=3.56$ <br> $p$-value (Gof) $=0.01$ | Lognormal Distribution $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GM}=0.01 \\ & \mathrm{GSD}=3.45 \end{aligned}$ <br> $p$-value (Gof) <0.01 | Lognormal Distribution $\mathrm{GM}=0.01$ $\mathrm{GSD}=3.29$ <br> $p$-value (Gof) $<0.01$ |
| Body Lotion |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Maximum | 217.66 | 36.31 | 36.31 |
| Mean | 103.21 | 8.69 | 4.42 |
| SD | 53.40 | 5.09 | 4.19 |
| Percentiles |  |  |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 36.74 | 3.33 | 1.30 |
| $20^{\text {th }}$ | 51.99 | 4.68 | 1.73 |
| $30^{\text {th }}$ | 68.43 | 5.71 | 2.32 |
| $40^{\text {th }}$ | 82.75 | 6.74 | 2.76 |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ | 96.41 | 7.63 | 3.45 |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ | 110.85 | 9.25 | 4.22 |
| $70^{\text {th }}$ | 134.20 | 10.90 | 4.93 |
| $80^{\text {th }}$ | 160.26 | 12.36 | 6.14 |
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Table 17-39. Amount of Test Product used (grams) for Lipstick, Body Lotion and Face Cream (continued)

| Summary Statistics | Total Amount Applied | Average ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Amount Applied per Use Day | Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Amount Applied per Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ | 182.67 | 14.39 | 8.05 |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ | 190.13 | 16.83 | 10.22 |
| $99^{\text {th }}$ | 208.50 | 27.91 | 21.71 |
| Best Fit Distributions and Parameters ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Beta Distribution ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> Alpha $=1.53$ <br> Beta $=1.77$ <br> Scale $=222.01$ <br> $p$-value $(\mathrm{GoF})=0.06$ | Gamma Distribution <br> Location $=-0.86$ <br> Scale $=2.53$ <br> Shape $=3.77$ <br> $p$-value $(\mathrm{GoF})=0.37$ | Lognormal Distribution $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GM}=3.26 \\ & \mathrm{GSD}=2.25 \end{aligned}$ <br> $p$-value (GoF) $=0.63$ |
| Face Cream |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Maximum | 55.85 | 42.01 | 21.01 |
| Mean | 22.36 | 2.05 | 1.22 |
| SD | 14.01 | 2.90 | 1.76 |
| Percentiles |  |  |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 5.75 | 0.47 | 0.28 |
| $20^{\text {th }}$ | 9.35 | 0.70 | 0.40 |
| $30^{\text {th }}$ | 12.83 | 1.03 | 0.53 |
| $40^{\text {th }}$ | 16.15 | 1.26 | 0.67 |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ | 19.86 | 1.53 | 0.84 |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ | 23.79 | 1.88 | 1.04 |
| $70^{\text {th }}$ | 29.31 | 2.23 | 1.22 |
| $80^{\text {th }}$ | 36.12 | 2.90 | 1.55 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ | 44.58 | 3.50 | 2.11 |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ | 48.89 | 3.99 | 2.97 |
| $99^{\text {th }}$ | 51.29 | 12.54 | 10.44 |
| Best Fit Distributions and Parameters ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Triangle Distribution <br> Minimum = - 1.09 <br> Maximum $=58.71$ <br> Likeliest $=7.53$ <br> $p$-value $(\mathrm{GoF})=0.27$ | Lognormal Distribution ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GM}=1.39 \\ & \mathrm{GSD}=2.58 \end{aligned}$ <br> $p$-value (GoF) <0.01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lognormal Distribution }^{\text {c }} \\ & \mathrm{GM}=0.80 \\ & \mathrm{GSD}=2.55 \\ & p \text {-value }(\mathrm{GoF})=0.02 \end{aligned}$ |
| Derived as the ratio of the total amount used to the number of use days. <br> Derived as the ratio of the total amount used to the total number of applications during the survey. None of the tested distributions provided a good fit. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| GM = Geometric mean |  |  |  |
| GSD = Geometric stand | viation. |  |  |
| GoF = Goodness of fit. |  |  |  |
| Note: Data are for wome | s 19 to 65 years. |  |  |
| Source: Loretz et al. (2005) |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-40. Frequency of Use of Personal Care Products |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Product Type | $N$ | Average Number of Applications per Use Day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mean | SD | Min |



|  | Table 17-42. Average Amount of Product Applied per Use Day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (grams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Summary Statistics | Hairspray (aerosol) | Hairspray (pump) | Spray Perfume | Liquid Foundation | Shampoo | Body Wash | Solid <br> Antiperspirant |
|  | $N$ | $163{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $161{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $310^{\text {b }}$ | $321{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 340 | 340 | 340 |
|  | Mean | 3.57 | 5.18 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 12.80 | 14.5 | 0.79 |
|  | SD | 3.09 | 4.83 | 0.57 | 0.65 | 9.11 | 8.5 | 0.78 |
|  | Minimum | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 1.3 | 0.00 |
|  | Maximum | 18.25 | 24.12 | 5.08 | 3.00 | 67.89 | 63.4 | 5.55 |
|  | Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0.84 | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 4.12 | 5.7 | 0.17 |
|  | $20^{\text {th }}$ | 1.35 | 1.48 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 5.80 | 7.6 | 0.29 |
|  | $30^{\text {th }}$ | 1.65 | 2.33 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 7.32 | 9.3 | 0.38 |
|  | $40^{\text {th }}$ | 2.23 | 2.66 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 9.09 | 10.9 | 0.46 |
|  | $50^{\text {th }}$ | 2.71 | 3.74 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 10.75 | 12.9 | 0.59 |
|  | $60^{\text {th }}$ | 3.30 | 4.71 | 0.45 | 0.58 | 12.82 | 14.8 | 0.70 |
|  | $70^{\text {th }}$ | 3.89 | 5.67 | 0.61 | 0.76 | 14.73 | 17.4 | 0.86 |
|  | $80^{\text {th }}$ | 4.86 | 7.38 | 0.81 | 1.04 | 17.61 | 20.7 | 1.08 |
|  | $90^{\text {th }}$ | 7.73 | 12.22 | 1.45 | 1.76 | 23.63 | 25.5 | 1.70 |
|  | $95^{\text {th }}$ | 9.89 | 15.62 | 1.77 | 2.18 | 29.08 | 29.1 | 2.32 |
|  | $97.5^{\text {th }}$ | 13.34 | 19.41 | 1.86 | 2.40 | 36.46 | 35.6 | 3.33 |
|  | $99^{\text {th }}$ | 15.05 | 23.98 | 2.01 | 2.70 | 51.12 | 43.5 | 4.42 |
|  | Best fit distributions and parameters | Lognormal Distribution | Lognormal Distribution | Lognormal Distribution | Lognormal Distribution | Lognormal | Gamma | Lognormal Distribution |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { GM } & =2.57 \\ \text { GSD } & =2.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GM} & =3.45 \\ \mathrm{GSD} & =2.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { GM } & =0.30 \\ \text { GSD } & =3.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { GM } & =0.40 \\ \text { GSD } & =3.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Location }=0.38 \\ \text { Scale }=5.79 \\ \text { Shape }=2.15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Location }=0.67 \\ \text { Scale }=4.89 \\ \text { Shape }=2.84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GM} & =0.56 \\ \mathrm{GSD} & =2.41 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | p-value <br> (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.075 | 0.047 | 0.8208 | 0.760 | 0.293 |
|  | a Derived as the <br> Subjects who <br> excluded. <br> c Estimate does <br> minimum samp <br> $N$ $=$ Number of su <br> SD $=$ Standard dev <br> GM $=$ Geometric m <br> GSD $=$ Geometric st <br> Source: Loretz et al. (2 | of the total pleted the stud <br> meet the minin ize ( $N$ ) satisfi cts (women, n. <br> ard deviation. | t used to the to did not report ample size crit following rule to 65 years). | number of applic r number of appli $\begin{aligned} & (N=800) \text { as set } \\ & 8 /(1-p)] \text { http://w } \end{aligned}$ | s. ons, or who did he National C dc.gov/nchs/a | t return the unuse <br> r for Health Statis t/major/nhanes/nh | portion of the pro <br> s. For upper perc nes3/nh3gui.pdf. | ct, were <br> ile (>75), the |
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| Table 17-44. Deodorant/Antiperspirant Spray Exposure for Consumers Only (males and females)-Under Arms Only |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (g/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kg-day) | Parameter SD |
| Mean | 3.478 | 0.007 | 49.07 | 0.13 |
| Standard Deviation | 2.051 | 0.009 | 31.00 | 0.22 |
| Median | 3.153 | 0.012 | 43.52 | 0.19 |
| Minimum | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.59 | 0.10 |
| Maximum | 23.663 | 1.724 | 379.03 | 63.23 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 0.228 | 0.012 | 3.08 | 0.13 |
| p02.5 | 0.373 | 0.008 | 5.08 | 0.12 |
| p05 | 0.598 | 0.011 | 8.23 | 0.16 |
| p10 | 1.135 | 0.014 | 15.31 | 0.20 |
| p20 | 1.951 | 0.012 | 25.75 | 0.17 |
| p30 | 2.425 | 0.010 | 32.38 | 0.17 |
| p40 | 2.796 | 0.011 | 37.96 | 0.17 |
| p50 | 3.153 | 0.012 | 43.52 | 0.19 |
| p60 | 3.548 | 0.013 | 49.73 | 0.22 |
| p70 | 4.049 | 0.015 | 57.50 | 0.27 |
| p80 | 4.804 | 0.019 | 68.59 | 0.32 |
| p90 | 6.095 | 0.029 | 87.79 | 0.49 |
| p92 | 6.477 | 0.031 | 93.94 | 0.58 |
| p94 | 6.955 | 0.037 | 101.93 | 0.71 |
| p95 | 7.262 | 0.040 | 107.01 | 0.81 |
| p96 | 7.645 | 0.047 | 113.29 | 0.91 |
| p97.5 | 8.537 | 0.064 | 126.91 | 1.24 |
| p98 | 9.005 | 0.076 | 133.46 | 1.40 |
| p99 | 10.451 | 0.107 | 154.31 | 1.98 |
| p99.5 | 11.628 | 0.132 | 175.01 | 2.80 |
| p99.9 | 13.843 | 0.277 | 222.53 | 7.29 |
| Source: Hall et al. (2007). |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-45. Deodorant/Antiperspirant Spray Exposure for Consumers Only (male sand females) Using Product Over Torso and Under Arms |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (g/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kg-day) | Parameter SD |
| Mean | 3.732 | 0.008 | 52.47 | 0.14 |
| Standard | 2.213 | 0.010 | 32.94 | 0.23 |
| Median | 3.383 | 0.012 | 46.66 | 0.20 |
| Minimum | 0.044 | 0.005 | 0.59 | 0.10 |
| Maximum | 24.662 | 2.057 | 389.12 | 66.91 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 0.239 | 0.014 | 3.19 | 0.14 |
| p02.5 | 0.384 | 0.009 | 5.30 | 0.15 |
| p05 | 0.639 | 0.015 | 8.80 | 0.18 |
| p10 | 1.214 | 0.015 | 16.47 | 0.23 |
| p20 | 2.078 | 0.013 | 27.71 | 0.18 |
| p30 | 2.580 | 0.012 | 34.76 | 0.17 |
| p40 | 2.986 | 0.011 | 40.73 | 0.18 |
| p50 | 3.383 | 0.012 | 46.66 | 0.20 |
| p60 | 3.819 | 0.014 | 53.26 | 0.21 |
| p70 | 4.364 | 0.016 | 61.50 | 0.27 |
| p80 | 5.156 | 0.021 | 73.25 | 0.35 |
| p90 | 6.543 | 0.030 | 93.70 | 0.53 |
| p92 | 6.969 | 0.036 | 100.24 | 0.60 |
| p94 | 7.505 | 0.042 | 108.70 | 0.73 |
| p95 | 7.839 | 0.048 | 114.08 | 0.81 |
| p96 | 8.263 | 0.053 | 120.73 | 0.92 |
| p97.5 | 9.213 | 0.069 | 135.17 | 1.24 |
| p98 | 9.711 | 0.080 | 142.13 | 1.42 |
| p99 | 11.263 | 0.117 | 164.14 | 2.31 |
| p99.5 | 12.544 | 0.157 | 186.13 | 3.14 |
| p99.9 | 14.898 | 0.300 | 235.47 | 7.01 |
| Source: Hall et al. (2007). |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-46. Deodorant/Antiperspirant Non-Spray for Consumers Only (males and females) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (g/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kg-day) | Parameter SD |
| Mean | 0.898 | 0.002 | 12.95 | 0.04 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.494 | 0.002 | 7.34 | 0.05 |
| Median | 0.820 | 0.003 | 11.77 | 0.05 |
| Minimum | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Maximum | 4.528 | 0.300 | 73.91 | 7.48 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 0.064 | 0.002 | 0.90 | 0.04 |
| p02.5 | 0.123 | 0.004 | 1.75 | 0.05 |
| p05 | 0.221 | 0.004 | 3.12 | 0.06 |
| p10 | 0.363 | 0.003 | 5.08 | 0.05 |
| p20 | 0.509 | 0.003 | 7.26 | 0.05 |
| p30 | 0.617 | 0.003 | 8.85 | 0.05 |
| p40 | 0.718 | 0.003 | 10.30 | 0.05 |
| p50 | 0.820 | 0.003 | 11.77 | 0.05 |
| p60 | 0.934 | 0.004 | 13.36 | 0.05 |
| p70 | 1.068 | 0.004 | 15.25 | 0.07 |
| p80 | 1.238 | 0.005 | 17.77 | 0.08 |
| p90 | 1.509 | 0.007 | 22.08 | 0.12 |
| p92 | 1.598 | 0.008 | 23.51 | 0.14 |
| p94 | 1.722 | 0.010 | 25.37 | 0.17 |
| p95 | 1.806 | 0.011 | 26.57 | 0.19 |
| p96 | 1.912 | 0.013 | 28.05 | 0.21 |
| p97.5 | 2.134 | 0.016 | 31.18 | 0.28 |
| p98 | 2.233 | 0.017 | 32.67 | 0.32 |
| p99 | 2.515 | 0.025 | 37.25 | 0.48 |
| p99.5 | 2.771 | 0.033 | 41.93 | 0.72 |
| p99.9 | 3.426 | 0.088 | 52.79 | 1.63 |
| Source: Hall et al. (2007). |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-47. Lipstick Exposure for Consumers Only (females) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (mg/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kg-day) | Parameter SD |
| Mean | 24.61 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 |
| Standard Deviation | 24.05 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.01 |
| Median | 17.11 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
| Minimum | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Maximum | 217.53 | 26.01 | 3.88 | 0.55 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 0.57 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| p02.5 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| p05 | 1.68 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| p10 | 2.95 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| p20 | 5.69 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| p30 | 9.20 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| p40 | 12.93 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| p50 | 17.11 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
| p60 | 22.37 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.00 |
| p70 | 29.43 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.01 |
| p80 | 39.70 | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.01 |
| p90 | 56.53 | 0.66 | 0.90 | 0.01 |
| p92 | 61.66 | 0.72 | 0.98 | 0.01 |
| p94 | 68.29 | 0.86 | 1.10 | 0.02 |
| p95 | 72.51 | 0.95 | 1.17 | 0.02 |
| p96 | 77.78 | 1.08 | 1.26 | 0.02 |
| p97.5 | 89.08 | 1.34 | 1.46 | 0.03 |
| p98 | 94.46 | 1.52 | 1.55 | 0.03 |
| p99 | 110.98 | 2.06 | 1.84 | 0.04 |
| p99.5 | 126.71 | 2.93 | 2.13 | 0.06 |
| p99.9 | 160.06 | 6.33 | 2.78 | 0.14 |
| Source: Hall et al. (2007). |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-48. Facial Moisturizer Exposure for Consumers Only (males and females) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (g/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kg-day) | Parameter SD |
| Mean | 0.906 | 0.003 | 13.62 | 0.05 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.533 | 0.004 | 8.63 | 0.08 |
| Median | 0.851 | 0.004 | 12.42 | 0.06 |
| Minimum | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Maximum | 4.751 | 0.380 | 92.75 | 11.80 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 0.055 | 0.002 | 0.73 | 0.04 |
| p02.5 | 0.079 | 0.004 | 1.13 | 0.03 |
| p05 | 0.138 | 0.001 | 1.89 | 0.04 |
| p10 | 0.261 | 0.004 | 3.67 | 0.06 |
| p20 | 0.472 | 0.004 | 6.63 | 0.05 |
| p30 | 0.603 | 0.003 | 8.66 | 0.05 |
| p40 | 0.721 | 0.003 | 10.51 | 0.06 |
| p50 | 0.851 | 0.004 | 12.42 | 0.06 |
| p60 | 0.990 | 0.004 | 14.47 | 0.07 |
| p70 | 1.131 | 0.004 | 16.78 | 0.07 |
| p80 | 1.289 | 0.005 | 19.65 | 0.10 |
| p90 | 1.536 | 0.007 | 24.14 | 0.14 |
| p92 | 1.617 | 0.008 | 25.57 | 0.17 |
| p94 | 1.727 | 0.010 | 27.46 | 0.19 |
| p95 | 1.801 | 0.012 | 28.68 | 0.22 |
| p96 | 1.897 | 0.014 | 30.23 | 0.25 |
| p97.5 | 2.129 | 0.022 | 33.73 | 0.35 |
| p98 | 2.251 | 0.027 | 35.52 | 0.43 |
| p99 | 2.653 | 0.043 | 41.63 | 0.71 |
| p99.5 | 3.040 | 0.057 | 48.23 | 1.08 |
| p99.9 | 3.714 | 0.108 | 63.35 | 2.62 |
| Source: Hall et al. (2007). |  |  |  |  |


| Table 17-49. Shampoo Exposure for Consumers Only (males and females) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (g/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kg-day) | Parameter SD |
| Mean | 6.034 | 0.014 | 85.888 | 0.223 |
| Standard Deviation | 3.296 | 0.015 | 48.992 | 0.278 |
| Median | 5.503 | 0.020 | 77.895 | 0.294 |
| Minimum | 0.344 | 0.036 | 3.826 | 0.461 |
| Maximum | 29.607 | 0.669 | 528.361 | 65.887 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 1.071 | 0.000 | 12.781 | 0.148 |
| p02.5 | 1.268 | 0.023 | 16.367 | 0.181 |
| p05 | 1.482 | 0.024 | 21.059 | 0.182 |
| p10 | 2.178 | 0.019 | 29.737 | 0.269 |
| p20 | 3.236 | 0.016 | 44.415 | 0.242 |
| p30 | 3.843 | 0.019 | 55.58 | 0.253 |
| p40 | 4.777 | 0.023 | 66.502 | 0.27 |
| p50 | 5.503 | 0.020 | 77.895 | 0.294 |
| p60 | 6.416 | 0.022 | 90.255 | 0.332 |
| p70 | 7.390 | 0.026 | 104.537 | 0.373 |
| p80 | 8.597 | 0.028 | 122.6 | 0.461 |
| p90 | 10.456 | 0.039 | 150.488 | 0.642 |
| p92 | 11.013 | 0.054 | 159.046 | 0.73 |
| p94 | 11.721 | 0.041 | 169.939 | 0.846 |
| p95 | 12.181 | 0.063 | 176.768 | 0.922 |
| p96 | 12.705 | 0.064 | 185.092 | 1.08 |
| p97.5 | 13.765 | 0.073 | 202.349 | 1.396 |
| p98 | 14.194 | 0.091 | 210.49 | 1.551 |
| p99 | 15.637 | 0.110 | 235.613 | 2.142 |
| p99.5 | 16.992 | 0.149 | 260.624 | 3.009 |
| p99.9 | 20.397 | 0.443 | 320.47 | 6.689 |
| Source: Hall et al. (200 | 07). |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-50. Toothpaste Exposure for Consumers Only (males and females) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Amount (g/day) | Parameter SD | Amount (mg/kgday) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Parameter } \\ & \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean | 2.092 | 0.001 | 29.85 | 0.04 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.577 | 0.001 | 10.34 | 0.05 |
| Median | 2.101 | 0.003 | 28.67 | 0.06 |
| Minimum | 0.069 | 0.012 | 0.93 | 0.18 |
| Maximum | 4.969 | 0.159 | 98.77 | 8.19 |
| Percentile |  |  |  |  |
| p01 | 0.777 | 0.011 | 10.14 | 0.14 |
| p02.5 | 1.049 | 0.006 | 13.34 | 0.08 |
| p05 | 1.204 | 0.004 | 15.47 | 0.06 |
| p10 | 1.370 | 0.003 | 17.96 | 0.06 |
| p20 | 1.591 | 0.003 | 21.29 | 0.05 |
| p30 | 1.790 | 0.003 | 23.94 | 0.05 |
| p40 | 1.958 | 0.003 | 26.32 | 0.06 |
| p50 | 2.101 | 0.003 | 28.67 | 0.06 |
| p60 | 2.237 | 0.003 | 31.15 | 0.06 |
| p70 | 2.383 | 0.003 | 34.00 | 0.07 |
| p80 | 2.551 | 0.003 | 37.62 | 0.08 |
| p90 | 2.749 | 0.003 | 43.29 | 0.12 |
| p92 | 2.809 | 0.004 | 45.03 | 0.14 |
| p94 | 2.895 | 0.005 | 47.23 | 0.16 |
| p95 | 2.960 | 0.006 | 48.61 | 0.17 |
| p96 | 3.052 | 0.008 | 50.27 | 0.20 |
| p97.5 | 3.323 | 0.010 | 53.70 | 0.25 |
| p98 | 3.447 | 0.015 | 55.28 | 0.26 |
| p99 | 3.760 | 0.006 | 60.12 | 0.39 |
| p99.5 | 3.956 | 0.026 | 64.77 | 0.52 |
| p99.9 | 4.303 | 0.049 | 74.84 | 1.10 |
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| Table 17-51. Average Number of Applications per Use Day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary Statistics | Facial Cleanser (lathering and nonlathering) | Hair Conditioner | Eye Shadow |
| $N$ | 295 | 297 | 299 |
| Mean | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| SD | 0.52 | 0.19 | 0.33 |
| Minimum | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Maximum | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Percentiles |  |  |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $20^{\text {th }}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $30^{\text {th }}$ | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $40^{\text {th }}$ | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| $70^{\text {th }}$ | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| $80^{\text {th }}$ | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| $97.5^{\text {th }}$ | $2.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $99^{\text {th b }}$ | $3.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| a Derived as the ratio of the number of applications to the number of use days. |  |  |  |
| Estimate does not meet the minimum sample size criteria ( $n=800$ ) as set by the National Center for Health Statistics. For upper percentile (>0.75), the minimum sample size $(n)$ satisfies the following rule: $n$ [8/(1-p.] |  |  |  |
| See http://www/cdc/gov/nchs/about/major/nhanes/nhanes3/nh3gui.pdf. $=$ Number of subjects (women, ages 18 to 69 years). |  |  |  |
| $=$ Standard deviation. |  |  |  |
| Source: Loretz et al. (2008). |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-53. Average Amount of Product Applied per Application (grams) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary Statistics | Facial Cleanser (lathering and non-lathering) | Facial Cleanser (lathering) | Facial Cleanser (non-lathering) | Hair Conditioner | Eye Shadow |
| $N$ | 295 | 174 | 121 | 297 | 299 |
| Mean | 2.57 | 2.56 | 2.58 | 13.13 | 0.03 |
| SD | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.77 | 11.22 | 0.10 |
| Minimum | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 0.84 | 0.0004 |
| Maximum | 14.61 | 10.67 | 14.61 | 87.86 | 0.69 |
| Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 0.92 | 0.83 | 1.10 | 3.48 | 0.003 |
| $20^{\text {th }}$ | 1.32 | 1.26 | 1.35 | 5.34 | 0.004 |
| $30^{\text {th }}$ | 1.57 | 1.55 | 1.59 | 6.71 | 0.006 |
| $40^{\text {th }}$ | 1.85 | 1.84 | 1.89 | 8.26 | 0.007 |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.15 | 10.21 | 0.009 |
| $60^{\text {th }}$ | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.51 | 12.24 | 0.011 |
| $70^{\text {th }}$ | 2.94 | 2.96 | 2.96 | 14.54 | 0.015 |
| $80^{\text {th }}$ | 3.47 | 3.56 | 3.40 | 18.88 | 0.022 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ | 4.81 | 5.10 | 4.52 | 27.32 | 0.041 |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ | 5.89 | 6.37 | $5.11{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 32.43 | 0.096 |
| $97.5^{\text {th }}$ | $7.16{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $7.77^{\text {b }}$ | $6.29{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $45.68{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.488^{\text {b }}$ |
| $99^{\text {thb }}$ | $9.44{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $9.61{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $15.46^{\text {b }}$ | $60.20^{\text {b }}$ | $0.562^{\text {b }}$ |
| Best Fit Distributions and Parameters | Extreme Value | Gamma | Extreme Value | Lognormal Distribution | Lognormal <br> Distribution |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mode }=1.86 \\ \text { Scale }=1.12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loc }=0.28 \\ \text { Scale }=1.29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mode }=1.92 \\ \text { Scale }=1.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GM} & =9.78 \\ \mathrm{GSD} & =2.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GM} & =0.01 \\ \mathrm{GSD} & =3.59 \end{aligned}$ |
| $p$-value (chi-square <br> test) | 0.0464 | 0.6123 | 0.5219 | 0.9501 | $<0.0001$ |
| Derived as the ratio of the total amount used to the total number of applications. <br> Estimate does not meet the minimum sample size criteria ( $n=800$ ) as set by the National Center for Health Statistics. For upper percentile (>0.75), the minimum sample size $(n)$ satisfies the following rule: $n[8 /(1-p)]$. http://www/cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/nhanes/nhanes3/nh3gui.pdf. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N \quad=$ Number of | subjects (women, | s 18 to 69 years) |  |  |  |
| SD = Standard | viation. |  |  |  |  |
| GM = Geometri | mean. |  |  |  |  |
| GSD = Geometri | tandard deviation |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Loretz et al. (2008). |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Table 17-54. Characteristics of the Study Population and the Percentage Using <br> Selected Baby Care Products |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Characteristic | Sample Number (\%) |
| Number of Participants | $43(26)$ |
| Los Angeles, CA | $77(47)$ |
| Minneapolis, MN | $43(26)$ |
| Columbia, MO |  |
| Male | $84(52)$ |
| Sex | $79(48)$ |
| Female | $42(26)$ |
| Age (months) | $82(50)$ |
| 2 to 8 | $30(18)$ |
| 9 to 16 | $9(6)$ |
| 17 to 24 |  |
| 24 to 28 | $84(52)$ |
| Infant Weight (kg) | $79(48)$ |
| $\leq 10$ |  |
| >10 | $131(80)$ |
|  | $17(10)$ |
| Wace | $3(2)$ |
| White | $8(5)$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $4(3)$ |
| Native American | $\%$ Using |
| Asian | 36 |
| Black | 54 |
| Product Use | 14 |
| Baby Lotion | 33 |
| Baby Shampoo | 94 |
| Baby Powder |  |
| Diaper Cream |  |
| Baby Wipes |  |
| Source: | Sathyanarayana et al. (2008) |

